arXiv:1405.3004v1 [math.GT] 13 May 2014

Combinatorial Proofs in Bordered Heegaard Floer homology

Bohua Zhan

May 14, 2014

Abstract

Using bordered Floer theory, we give a combinatorial construction and proof of invariance for the hat version of Heegaard Floer homology. As a part of the proof, we also establish combinatorially the invariance of the linear-categorical representation of the strongly-based mapping class groupoid given by the same theory.

1 Introduction

Heegaard Floer homology, introduced by Ozsváth and Szabó in [15] and [14], gives several kinds of invariants for closed 3-manifolds. The invariants are defined using holomorphic curves, so that in general it is not directly computable from definition. However, for the hat version of the invariant (denoted $\widehat{HF}$), there are ways to define the theory combinatorially. There are two steps in this process. First, we want to give, to a particular kind of description of a 3-manifold (such as a Heegaard splitting), a description of the invariant associated to that 3-manifold. This means that, at least in principle, the invariant can be computed algorithmically for any 3-manifold. Second, we want to give combinatorial proofs for the main properties of the invariant, beginning with the statement that it depends only on the diffeomorphism class of the 3-manifold, rather than on a particular description of it.

Bordered Floer homology gives a way to extend the hat version of Heegaard Floer homology to 3-manifolds with one or two boundary components. The theory is also defined using holomorphic curves. However, the invariants associated to several types of simple 3-manifolds with boundary have been computed. By breaking an arbitrary closed 3-manifold into simpler pieces, the theory gives a combinatorial description of $\widehat{HF}$ [10], achieving the first step in the process above.

In this paper, we give the second step in the process, namely prove combinatorially that the construction of $\widehat{HF}$ given by bordered Floer theory is in fact an invariant of the 3-manifold. One main result we use is an alternative description of $\widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ given in [22]. This allows us to use a combinatorial construction that is easier to reason about.

An intermediate statement in the proof, which may be of independent interest, is that bordered Floer theory gives a linear-categorical representation of the strongly-based mapping class groupoid (which contains the strongly-based mapping class group). By a linear-categorical representation of a group or groupoid, we mean assigning homotopy equivalence classes of bimodules to each element of the group (resp. groupoid), in such a way that composition in the group (resp. groupoid) corresponds to taking an appropriate tensor product of bimodules.

Sarkar and Wang gave in [17] the first combinatorial description of $\widehat{HF}$, by giving a systematic way to convert any Heegaard diagram into a nice diagram, in which case counting holomorphic curves is trivial. Ozsváth, Stipsicz, and Szabó gave in [13] the first combinatorial proof of invariance for $\widehat{HF}$, using another way to convert general Heegaard diagrams into *convenient* diagrams — a more restricted kind of nice diagrams, and by studying Heegaard moves on convenient diagrams.

Linear-categorical representations of important groups in topology have also been investigated before. Bordered Floer homology actually gives a family of representations of the strongly-based mapping class groupoid. For a given genus g, the representations are indexed by an integer w, called the weight, between $-g$ and g. The representation that is relevant for 3-manifold invariants, and which we will focus on in this paper, corresponds to $w = 0$. The cases $w = \pm g$ are trivial. The cases $w = \pm(g-1)$ are described combinatorially by Lipshitz, Ozsváth, and Thurston in [11], and a combinatorial proof of invariance is given by Siegel in [20]. Linear-categorical representations of other groups occuring in topology have also been studied. See the introduction in [6] for a review and a list of references. One major example is linear-categorical representations of the braid group, studied in, for example, [5], [19], [2], [18], and [4].

We now give an overview of this paper. In section 2, we review some algebraic preliminaries, and give in detail the combinatorial description of $\widehat{HF}$ to be considered here. In section 3, we prove the intermediate statement on the linear-categorical representation of the strongly-based mapping class groupoid. Using it, we complete the proof of invariance for closed 3-manifolds in section 4.

1.1 Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Peter Ozsváth for offering the ideas which led to this paper, and to Zoltán Szabó and Robert Lipshitz for many suggestions. I also want to thank the Simons Center for Geometry and Physics for their hospitality when part of this work is done.

2 Combinatorial Construction

In this section, we briefly review the structure of bordered Floer theory, and state one way to use this theory to give a combinatorial description of $\widehat{HF}(Y)$, for any closed 3-manifold Y. We refer to [8],[9], and [10] for more detailed treatments.

2.1 Pointed matched circles and strand algebras

In bordered Floer theory, the connected, compact, orientable surfaces that serve as boundary components of 3-manifolds are specified using pointed matched circles. A pointed matched circle is a quadruple $\mathcal{Z} = (Z, z, \mathbf{a}, M)$, consisting of a circle Z, a point $z \in Z$, a set of $4k$ points $\mathbf{a} \subset Z \setminus \{z\}$, and a two-to-one map M from $\mathbf{a}$ to $\{1, 2, \ldots, 2k\}$, pairing the points in $\mathbf{a}$, that satisfies the following condition: if we thicken the circle Z to an annulus and attach a 1-handle to the outside boundary of the annulus joining each pair of points in $\mathbf{a}$, then the new outside boundary must be a single circle. Given this requirement, we may glue a disk onto that boundary, obtaining a genus k surface $F^\circ(\mathcal{Z})$ with one boundary component and a basepoint z on the boundary. We say that the pointed matched circle $\mathcal{Z}$ *parametrizes* $F^\circ(\mathcal{Z})$. Let $-\mathcal{Z}$ be the pointed matched circle obtained by reversing orientation on $\mathcal{Z}$. Then $F^\circ(-\mathcal{Z})$ is the orientation reversal of $F^\circ(\mathcal{Z})$.

Let $F(\mathcal{Z})$ be the result of filling the boundary of $F^\circ(\mathcal{Z})$ with a disk. Then $F(\mathcal{Z})$ is a closed surface of genus k, marked with a homotopically trivial circle Z and a basepoint $z \in Z$. We will also say $F(\mathcal{Z})$ is parametrized by $\mathcal{Z}$.

An example of a pointed matched circle for $k = 2$ is shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1: Linear pointed matched circle with $k = 2$.

To each pointed matched circle $\mathcal{Z}$, bordered Floer theory associates a combinatorially defined *dg*-algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$. We refer to the original papers for the description of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$. Here we just fix some notations and terminologies used in this paper. For any generator $a \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$, the *multiplicity* of a, denoted $\mathrm{mult}(a)$, is an element in $H_1(Z \setminus \{z\}, \mathbf{a})$, recording how many times the strands in a cover each non-basepoint interval on Z. The *length* of a is the sum of coefficients in $\mathrm{mult}(a)$. Equivalently, it is the sum of lengths of strands in a. It is clear from the definitions that the algebra $\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z})$ is the opposite algebra of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$, so there is a canonical identification of their generators. For any $a \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$, let $\bar{a}$ denote the corresponding element in $\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z})$. If $i \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$ is an idempotent, let $o(i) \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$ denote the idempotent complementary to i. A *chord* is a single strand on Z. For any given chord ξ, we define $a(\xi) \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$ to be the sum of all generators that results from adding horizontal strands to ξ.

Given a 3-manifold Y with one boundary component ∂Y, a parametrization of ∂Y by a pointed matched circle $\mathcal{Z} = (Z, z, \mathbf{a}, M)$ is a diffeomorphism $\phi : F(\mathcal{Z}) \to \partial Y$. This marks ∂Y with a circle and a basepoint on the circle, which by abuse of notation we will also call Z and z. Bordered Floer theory associates two invariants to a 3-manifold Y with boundary ∂Y parametrized by $\mathcal{Z}$:

- Type A invariant $\widehat{CFA}(Y)_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})}$, a right A_∞-module over $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$.
- Type D invariant ${}^{\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z})}\widehat{CFD}(Y)$, a left type D module over $\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z})$.

They are invariants of Y up to homotopy equivalence of A_∞-modules or type D modules. We follow the standard convention in expressing types of actions on the module: each algebra is written on the side it acts on. Subscripts indicate A_∞-actions, and superscripts indicate type D actions. These may be omitted when there is no danger of confusion.

These invariants satisfy the following pairing theorem: let Y_1 and Y_2 be two 3-manifolds with boundaries parametrized by $\mathcal{Z}$ and $-\mathcal{Z}$, respectively. Let $Y = Y_1 \cup_\partial Y_2$ be the closed 3-manifold obtained by gluing them along their boundaries (with the gluing map induced by the parametrizations). Then

$$\widehat{CF}(Y) \simeq \widehat{CFA}(Y_1)_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})} \boxtimes {}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})}\widehat{CFD}(Y_2), \tag{1}$$

([8, Theorem 1.3]).

The theory extends to 3-manifolds with two boundary components as follows. Given Y with two boundary components $\partial_L Y$ and $\partial_R Y$. Fix parametrizations $\phi_1 : F(\mathcal{Z}_1) \to \partial_L Y$ and $\phi_2 : F(\mathcal{Z}_2) \to \partial_R Y$. This induces circles Z_1 and Z_2 on $\partial_L Y$ and $\partial_R Y$, and basepoints $z_1 \in Z_1, z_2 \in Z_2$. We further fix a map γ from the framed cylinder $(S^1, z) \times [0,1]$ into Y, so that $(S^1, z) \times \{0\}$ and $(S^1, z) \times \{1\}$ map to (Z_1, z_1) and (Z_2, z_2), respectively. We call the totality of the data $(Y, \partial_L Y, \partial_R Y, \phi_1, \phi_2, \gamma)$ a *strongly bordered 3-manifold with two boundary components*. From now on whenever we mention a 3-manifold Y with two boundary components, we mean a strongly bordered 3-manifold, omitting the other data when they are clear from context. To a 3-manifold Y with two boundary components, bordered Floer theory associates the following invariants:

- Type AA invariant $\widehat{CFAA}(Y)_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_1),\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_2)}$, a right A_∞-bimodule over $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_1)$ and $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_2)$.
- Type DD invariant ${}^{\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_1),\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_2)}\widehat{CFDD}(Y)$, a left type D bimodule over $\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_1)$ and $\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_2)$.
- Type DA invariant ${}^{\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_1)}\widehat{CFDA}(Y)_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_2)}$, a left type D, right A_∞-bimodule over $\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_1)$ and $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_2)$.
- Type AD invariant ${}^{\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_2)}\widehat{CFAD}(Y)_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_1)}$, a right A_∞, left type D bimodule over $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_1)$ and $\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_2)$.

The bimodules satisfy similar pairing theorems, as described in [9, Section 7.1]. The general rule is that tensor product can be taken between a right A_∞-action and a left type D action over the same algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$. Taking this tensor product corresponds to gluing two boundaries parametrized by $\mathcal{Z}$ and $-\mathcal{Z}$.

As in [22], we will write actions on the various kinds of modules and bimodules as sums of *arrows*. For example, an arrow in the type AA action is a map of the form $m_{1,i,j}(\mathbf{x}; a_1, \ldots, a_i; b_1, \ldots, b_j) \to \mathbf{y}$, where each $a_k, 1 \leq k \leq i$ and

$b_l, 1 \leq l \leq j$ is a generator of the appropriate algebra. Likewise, an arrow in the type DA action is a map of the form $\delta^1_{i+1}(\mathbf{x}, a_1, \ldots, a_i) \to b \otimes \mathbf{y}$, and an arrow in the type DD action is a map of the form $\delta^1 \mathbf{x} \to a \otimes b \otimes \mathbf{y}$.

2.2 Gradings on bordered invariants

In this section, we give a brief overview of gradings on the bordered invariants. For details, see [8, Chapter 10] and [9, Section 6.5].

We begin with gradings on the dg-algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$. There are two kinds of gradings, by a larger group $G'(\mathcal{Z})$ and a "refined" grading by a smaller group $G(\mathcal{Z})$. Both $G(\mathcal{Z})$ and $G'(\mathcal{Z})$ are non-commutative, equipped with a distinguished central element λ.

An element of $G'(\mathcal{Z})$ is specified by a pair (k, α), where $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha \in H_1(Z', \mathbf{a})$. With points of $\mathbf{a}$ labeled $1, \ldots, 4k$, we can write α as a sequence of integers $\alpha_i, 1 \leq i \leq 4k-1$, where α_i is the multiplicity of α at the interval $[i, i+1]$. Then multiplication on $G'(\mathcal{Z})$ is defined by

$$(k, \alpha) \cdot (l, \beta) = (k + l + L(\alpha, \beta), \alpha + \beta),$$

where

$$L(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{4k-2} \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_i \beta_{i+1} - \alpha_{i+1}\beta_i).$$

Actually, the grading lies in an index 2 subgroup of $G'(\mathcal{Z})$, but we will not make use of this here.

For later use, we define an anti-homomorphism

$$R : G'(\mathcal{Z}) \to G'(-\mathcal{Z})$$

given by

$$R(k, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{4k-1}) = (k, -\alpha_{4k-1}, \ldots, -\alpha_1).$$

To define the grading of a generator of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$, we first define a map

$$m : H_1(Z', \mathbf{a}) \times H_0(\mathbf{a}) \to \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}.$$

For an interval α and a point p, let $m(\alpha, p) = 1$ if p is in the interior of α, $\frac{1}{2}$ if p is on the boundary, and 0 otherwise. This is then extended bilinearly to all of $H_1(Z', \mathbf{a}) \times H_0(\mathbf{a})$ to define m.

Given a generator $a \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$, let $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ be the non-horizontal strands of a. Let $\mathrm{inv}(\boldsymbol{\rho})$ be the number of inversions in $\boldsymbol{\rho}$, $S \in H_0(\mathbf{a})$ be the starting points of $\boldsymbol{\rho}$, and $[a] \in H_1(Z', \mathbf{a})$ be the multiplicity of a. Then

$$\mathrm{gr}'(a) = (\mathrm{inv}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) - m([a], S), [a]).$$

Next, we consider relative gradings on the type D invariant. Fix a bordered Heegaard diagram $\mathcal{H}$. Let $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$ be generators and $B \in \pi_2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$, define $g'(B) \in G'(\mathcal{Z})$ as

$$g'(B) = (-e(B) - n_{\mathbf{x}}(B) - n_{\mathbf{y}}(B), \partial^{\partial}(B)).$$

Here $e(B)$ is the Euler measure of B, and $n_{\mathbf{x}}(B), n_{\mathbf{y}}(B)$ are multiplicities of B at $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$ as before, and $\partial^{\partial}(B)$ is the boundary of B on $H_1(Z', \mathbf{a})$.

There is a grading set for each spin-c class on $\mathcal{H}$ (in most bordered cases we consider here, there is just one spin-c class). The grading set $S'_D(\mathcal{H}, \mathfrak{s})$ for the Heegaard diagram $\mathcal{H}$ and spin-c class $\mathfrak{s}$ is defined as follows. Choose a base generator $\mathbf{x_0}$ with spin-c class $\mathfrak{s}$. Let $P'(\mathbf{x_0})$ be the set of $g'(P)$ for all $P \in \pi_2(\mathbf{x_0}, \mathbf{x_0})$ (the domains in $\pi_2(\mathbf{x_0}, \mathbf{x_0})$ are called *periodic domains*). Then set

$$S'_D(\mathcal{H}, \mathfrak{s}) = G'(-\mathcal{Z})/R(P'(\mathbf{x_0})).$$

This grading set has an obvious left action by $G'(\mathcal{Z})$. For another generator $\mathbf{x}$, choose a domain $B_0 \in \pi_2(\mathbf{x_0}, \mathbf{x})$ (unlike in the closed case, such a domain generally exists), and set

$$\mathrm{gr}'(\mathbf{x}) = R(g'(B_0)) \cdot R(P'(\mathbf{x_0})).$$

The type D action respects this relative grading in the sense that, for each arrow $\mathbf{x} \to a \otimes \mathbf{y}$ in the action, we have

$$\lambda^{-1}\mathrm{gr}'(\mathbf{x}) = \mathrm{gr}'(a)\mathrm{gr}'(\mathbf{y}).$$

Relative gradings on type A invariants are similar. The grading set is

$$S'_A(\mathcal{H}, \mathfrak{s}) = P'(\mathbf{x_0})\backslash G'(\mathcal{Z}).$$

This carries a natural right action by $G'(\mathcal{Z})$. For any generator $\mathbf{x}$, choose a domain $B_0 \in \pi_2(\mathbf{x_0}, \mathbf{x})$ and set

$$\mathrm{gr}'(\mathbf{x}) = P'(\mathbf{x_0}) \cdot g'(B_0).$$

The A_∞-action respects the relative grading in the sense that, for each arrow

$$m_{1,k}(\mathbf{x}; a_1, \ldots, a_k) \to \mathbf{y},$$

we have

$$\lambda^{k-1}\mathrm{gr}'(\mathbf{x})\mathrm{gr}'(a_1)\cdots\mathrm{gr}'(a_k) = \mathrm{gr}'(\mathbf{y}).$$

Gradings on bimodules are defined similarly. In particular, a domain in a Heegaard diagram with two boundaries parametrized by $\mathcal{Z}_1$ and $\mathcal{Z}_2$ gives rise to an element of $G'(\mathcal{Z}_1) \times_\lambda G'(\mathcal{Z}_2) = G'(\mathcal{Z}_1) \times G'(\mathcal{Z}_2)/(\lambda_1 = \lambda_2)$. The grading set is a certain coset of $G'(\mathcal{Z}_1) \times_\lambda G'(\mathcal{Z}_2)$.

Now we briefly discuss refined gradings, which contain essentially the same information, but are cleaner to work with theoretically.

The group $G(\mathcal{Z})$ can be considered as a subgroup of $G'(\mathcal{Z})$, generated by λ and elements of the form $(0, [p, q])$, where p, q is a pair of matched points, and $[p, q]$ denotes the interval in $H_1(Z', \mathbf{a})$ between p and q. An element (k, α) of $G'(\mathcal{Z})$ is in $G(\mathcal{Z})$ if and only if $M_*(\partial\alpha) = 0$ where $\partial : H_1(Z', \mathbf{a}) \to H_0(\mathbf{a})$ is the boundary operator and $M_* : H_0(\mathbf{a}) \to \mathbb{Z}^{2k}$ is a map sending each matched pair of points to the same basis element of $\mathbb{Z}^{2k}$.

To construct the refined grading on $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$, we first choose a base idempotent s_0 in $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$. Then for every idempotent s, choose a grading element $\psi(s) = (k, \alpha) \in G'(\mathcal{Z})$ such that $M_*(\partial\alpha) = s - s_0$. For an algebra element a with left idempotent s and right idempotent t, we set

$$\mathrm{gr}(a) = \psi(s)\mathrm{gr}'(a)\psi(t)^{-1}.$$

It is easy to check that this element lies in $G(\mathcal{Z})$ and that the two conditions on the grading are satisfied.

Similarly, we can "refine" the grading on the bordered invariants to use $G(\mathcal{Z})$ rather than $G'(\mathcal{Z})$. We will omit the details here.

We will not perform any detailed grading computations in this paper, but will simply note that all such computations can be done combinatorially from the Heegaard diagram. Given a module (or bimodule) M of any type, after choosing a relative gradings of generators on M, grading imposes a constraint on what kind of terms can appear in the A_∞ or type D action on M. In particular, it imposes the following constraint that is otherwise only available from the Heegaard diagram: if a region in a diagram with two boundaries touches the boundaries at intervals i and i', respectively, then for all arrows in the algebra action, the total multiplicity at i and at i' must be the same. Such constraints are crucial to establishing uniqueness properties of bimodule invariants, to be discussed in the following subsections.

2.3 The strongly-based mapping class groupoid

An important class of 3-manifolds with two boundary components is mapping cylinders of surface diffeomorphisms. Gluing with these 3-manifolds can be considered as "changing the parametrization" on the boundary of a bordered 3-manifold.

The strongly-based mapping class groupoid is a category whose objects are pointed matched circles $\mathcal{Z}$ with $4g$ points. These should be considered as surfaces $F^\circ(\mathcal{Z})$ with standard parametrizations by $\mathcal{Z}$. The morphisms from $\mathcal{Z}_1$ to $\mathcal{Z}_2$ in the category are isotopy classes of diffeomorphisms $\phi : F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_1) \to F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_2)$, sending the basepoint $z_1 \in F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_1)$ to the basepoint $z_2 \in F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_2)$. Identity and composition in the category correspond to the identity diffeomorphism and composition of diffeomorphisms, respectively.

If we fix a pointed matched circle $\mathcal{Z}$ and only consider morphisms from $\mathcal{Z}$ to itself, we obtain the strongly-based mapping class group of $F_{g,1}$ (where $F_{g,1}$ denotes a genus g surface with one circle boundary). This is simply the group of isotopy classes of boundary-preserving self-diffeomorphisms of $F_{g,1}$.

Given a diffeomorphism $\phi : F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_1) \to F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_2)$, we can construct its mapping cylinder $Y(\phi) = F(\mathcal{Z}_2) \times [0,1]$ as a strongly bordered 3-manifold with two boundary components, parametrized by $-\mathcal{Z}_1$ and $\mathcal{Z}_2$. The left boundary $\partial_L Y(\phi) = F(\mathcal{Z}_2) \times \{0\}$ is parametrized by the induced map $\phi_* : -F(\mathcal{Z}_1) \to -F(\mathcal{Z}_2)$ (reverse orientation and extend over the disk filling the boundary), while the right boundary is parametrized by the identity map on $F(\mathcal{Z}_2)$. The map γ is simply the inclusion $(Z, z) \times [0,1] \to F(\mathcal{Z}_2) \times [0,1]$.

This establishes an one-to-one correspondence between strongly bordered 3-manifolds that are topologically $F_g \times [0,1]$, and morphisms in the strongly-based mapping class groupoid with genus g. For a morphism $\phi : F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_1) \to F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_2)$, we denote $\widehat{CFAA}(\phi)_{\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_1),\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_2)}$ to be the type AA invariant $\widehat{CFAA}(Y(\phi))_{\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_1),\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_2)}$ associated to the mapping cylinder of ϕ. Likewise we have invariants ${}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_1)}\widehat{CFDA}(\phi)_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_2)}$ and ${}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_1),\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_2)}\widehat{CFDD}(\phi)$ corresponding to ϕ.

For future reference, we write down the pairing theorems involving the DA invariants. For morphisms $\phi_1 : F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_1) \to F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_2)$ and $\phi_2 : F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_2) \to F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_3)$, the DA invariant for $\phi_2 \circ \phi_1$ is given by:

$$ {}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_1)}\widehat{CFDA}(\phi_2 \circ \phi_1)_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_3)} = {}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_1)}\widehat{CFDA}(\phi_1)_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_2)} \boxtimes {}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_2)}\widehat{CFDA}(\phi_2)_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_3)}. \tag{2}$$

For a morphism $\phi : F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_1) \to F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_2)$ and a 3-manifold Y with boundary parametrized by $\psi : F(-\mathcal{Z}_2) \to \partial Y$, let Y' be the same manifold with boundary parametrized by $\psi \circ \phi_* : F(-\mathcal{Z}_1) \to \partial Y$, then

$$ {}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_1)}\widehat{CFD}(Y') = {}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_1)}\widehat{CFDA}(\phi)_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_2)} \boxtimes {}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_2)}\widehat{CFD}(Y). \tag{3}$$

2.4 Invariants of the identity diffeomorphism

Let $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ be the identity morphism $F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}) \to F^\circ(\mathcal{Z})$. All bimodule invariants associated to $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ have special significance in the theory. First, it can be shown that ([9, Section 8.1])

$$\widehat{CFDA}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}}) \simeq {}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})},$$

where the latter denotes the identity type DA bimodule over $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$. This bimodule is generated over $\mathbb{F}_2$ by idempotents of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$, and the algebra action on the bimodule is given by:

$$\delta_2^1(i,a) = a \otimes j,$$

for any generator $a \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$, where i and j are the left and right idempotents of a.

The type DD invariant ${}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}),\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z})}\widehat{CFDD}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ and type AA invariant $\widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})_{\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}),\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})}$ relate the type A and type D invariants through taking the tensor product. For any 3-manifold Y with one boundary component parametrized by $\mathcal{Z}$, the relations are:

$$\widehat{CFA}(Y)_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})} = \widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})_{\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}),\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})} \boxtimes {}^{\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z})}\widehat{CFD}(Y) \tag{4}$$

$$ {}^{\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z})}\widehat{CFD}(Y) = \widehat{CFA}(Y)_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})} \boxtimes {}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}),\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z})}\widehat{CFDD}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}}). \tag{5}$$

One implication is that $\widehat{CFD}(Y)$ and $\widehat{CFA}(Y)$ contain the same information about Y. Likewise, there are relations among the bimodule invariants, showing that all bimodule invariants also contain the same information. For any 3-

manifold Y with two boundary components parametrized by $\mathcal{Z}_1$ and $\mathcal{Z}_2$:

$$ {}^{\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_1)}\widehat{CFDA}(Y)_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_2)} = \widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}_2})_{\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_2),\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_2)} \boxtimes {}^{\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_1),\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_2)}\widehat{CFDD}(Y) \quad (6) $$

$$ \widehat{CFAA}(Y)_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_1),\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_2)} = \widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}_1})_{\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_1),\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_1)} \boxtimes {}^{\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_1)}\widehat{CFDA}(Y)_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_2)} \quad (7) $$

$$ {}^{\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_1)}\widehat{CFDA}(Y)_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_2)} = {}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_1),\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_1)}\widehat{CFDD}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}_1}) \boxtimes \widehat{CFAA}(Y)_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_1),\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_2)} \quad (8) $$

$$ {}^{\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_1),\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_2)}\widehat{CFDD}(Y) = {}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_2),\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_2)}\widehat{CFDD}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}_2}) \boxtimes {}^{\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_1)}\widehat{CFDA}(Y)_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_2)}. \quad (9) $$

The above equations are special cases of the pairing theorems (where one side is a cylinder). It indicates the importance of finding combinatorial descriptions of $\widehat{CFDD}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ and $\widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})$, which we will now consider.

First, we describe the type DD invariant ${}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}),\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z})}\widehat{CFDD}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})$, as given in [12, Theorem 14] and [10, Section 3]. It is generated over $\mathbb{F}_2$ by the set of pairs of complementary idempotents $i \otimes i'$, with $i \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$ and $i' = \overline{o(i)} \in \mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z})$. The type DD action is given by:

$$ \delta^1(i \otimes i') = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{C}, ia(\xi) = a(\xi)j, i'\overline{a(\xi)} = \overline{a(\xi)}j'} (a(\xi) \otimes \overline{a(\xi)}) \otimes (j \otimes j'), \quad (10) $$

where $\mathcal{C}$ is the set of chords on $\mathcal{Z}$ whose two endpoints are not matched.

Next, we consider the invariant $\widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})_{\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}),\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})}$. A formula for it is given in [9, Proposition 9.2] as follows:

$$ \begin{aligned} \widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}}) &= \mathrm{Mor}^{\mathcal{A}}({}_{\mathcal{A}'}\mathcal{A}'_{\mathcal{A}'} \boxtimes {}^{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}'}\widehat{CFDD}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}}), {}^{\mathcal{A}}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{A}}). \\ &= {}_{\mathcal{A}'}\overline{\mathcal{A}'}_{\mathcal{A}'} \boxtimes \overline{\widehat{CFDD}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})}^{\mathcal{A}',\mathcal{A}} \boxtimes {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}. \end{aligned} \quad (11) $$

This bimodule has a large number of generators, making it difficult to use for the computations needed in this paper. The main result of [22] is to describe a bimodule $\mathcal{M}$ homotopy equivalent to this (and hence also represent $\widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})$), but with a minimal number of generators. The bimodule $\mathcal{M}$ is generated over $\mathbb{F}_2$ by the set of pairs of complementary idempotents, but with much more complex A_∞-bimodule actions. We will briefly review this construction in section 3.3. From now on, whenever we refer to $\widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ we will assume the representative $\mathcal{M}$.

One of the pairing theorems imply the following relation between the invariants for $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}}$:

$$ \widehat{CFDA}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}}) \simeq \widehat{CFDD}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}}) \boxtimes \widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}}). \quad (12) $$

With our choice of combinatorial descriptions of the invariants, the equality only holds up to homotopy equivalence. This homotopy equivalence is proved combinatorially as Corollary 14 in section 3.4.

2.5 Invariants of arcslides

The strongly-based mapping class groupoid is generated by a particularly simple class of morphisms called *arcslides*. We will now review their definitions and the

invariants associated to them. The relations among arcslides will be described at the beginning of section 3.

Given a pointed matched circle $\mathcal{Z}_1$, and two matched pairs of points $B = (b_1, b_2)$ and $C = (c_1, c_2)$ in $\mathbf{a} \subset Z_1$, such that b_1 and c_1 are adjacent in $\mathbf{a}$. An arcslide of b_1 over c_1 moves b_1 to be adjacent to c_2, on the side opposite to its original position with respect to c_1. This results in a new pointed matched circle $\mathcal{Z}_2$. Such a move corresponds to a certain diffeomorphism $F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_1) \to F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_2)$, which we will also call an arcslide. See Figure 2 for two examples of arcslides. The first example is an *overslide* meaning b_1 is outside the interval $[c_1, c_2]$. The second example is an *underslide* meaning b_1 is inside that interval.



(13)

Figure 2: Two examples of arcslides.

Given an arcslide $\tau : F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_1) \to F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_2)$, the invariant $\widehat{CFDD}(\tau)$ is a left type DD bimodule over $A(\mathcal{Z}_1)$ and $A(-\mathcal{Z}_2)$, or equivalently, a left-right type DD bimodule over $A(\mathcal{Z}_1)$ and $A(\mathcal{Z}_2)$. This bimodule can be calculated from a standard Heegaard diagram for the mapping cylinder $Y(\tau)$. For the two arcslides in Figure 2, these standard Heegaard diagrams are shown in Figure 3. The tiny circles in the diagrams are 1-handle attachment points, paired according to their vertical positions. The larger circles are β circles, and all other arcs inside the boundary are α-arcs. Later on, we will draw more schematic versions of the diagrams, omitting the β circles and some of the attaching points of 1-handles.

Each generator of $\widehat{CFDD}(\tau)$ corresponds to a set of intersection points between α and β curves, with each β circle containing exactly one point, and each α-arc containing at most one point. The (type D) idempotent of the generator specifies which pairs of α-arcs are *not* occupied by the generator. In the case of arcslides here, each generator is uniquely specified by its idempotents on the two sides.



Figure 3: Examples of Heegaard diagrams of arcslides.

There is an obvious identification between pairs of points on the two sides, under which we can talk about two idempotents on different sides being complementary, etc. There are two types of generators. A generator of type X has complementary idempotents, and a generator of type Y has idempotents that are complementary except for both containing the C pair and neither containing the B pair. The type X generators are those that do not occupy the intersection point y in Figure 3, while the type Y generators do.

The type DD action on the bimodule can be described as follows. Given a pointed matched circle $\mathcal{Z}$, let $C(\mathcal{Z})$ denote the collection of sets of chords in $\mathcal{Z}$. For some $\xi \in C(\mathcal{Z})$, let $a(\xi) \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$ denote the sum of all generators of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$ produced by adding horizontal strands to ξ (this definition extends the case where ξ is a chord). For any arcslide $\tau : F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_1) \to F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_2)$, there is a collection of pairs $C_\tau = \{(\xi_i, \eta_i)\}$ where $\xi_i \in C(\mathcal{Z}_1), \eta_i \in C(\mathcal{Z}_2)$ such that

$$\delta^1(i \otimes i') = \sum_{\substack{(\xi_k,\eta_k)\in C_\tau \\ i a(\xi_k)=a(\xi_k) j \\ i' \overline{a(\eta_k)}=\overline{a(\eta_k)} j' \\ j\otimes j' \text{is a generator}}} (a(\xi_k) \otimes \overline{a(\eta_k)}) \otimes (j \otimes j'), \tag{14}$$

where generators are represented by their idempotents at the two sides.

Intuitively, there is a term in the type DD action whenever the idempotent agrees, and the moving strands part of the algebra coefficients match one of the fixed patterns. Depending on whether the arcslide is an underslide or overslide, there are 6 or 8 types of elements in C_τ. See Figure 17 and 22 in [10] for diagrams of these patterns. Note that not all pairs in Figure 22 are actually in C_τ — there is an additional choice involved. In the following we will only use some of the simpler pairs, involving algebra elements that have small total

length. In particular we will not need to consider any pair where a choice is necessary.

The following properties $\widehat{CFDD}(\tau)$, which follow from its definition in terms of holomorphic curve counts, can be directly verified for the above description:

- Every term in the type DD action comes from a *domain* in the Heegaard diagram. For a Heegaard diagram $\mathcal{H}$, denote $\vec{\alpha}$ and $\vec{\beta}$ for the union of α and β curves, respectively. A *domain* on $\mathcal{H}$ is an integral linear combination of connected components of $\mathcal{H}\backslash\{\vec{\alpha},\vec{\beta}\}$. Each term $\mathbf{x}\to a_1\otimes a_2\otimes\mathbf{y}$ in the type DD action comes from a domain B, such that a_1, a_2 have multiplicities equal to the multiplicities of B at the corresponding boundaries. Moreover, let $\partial^\alpha B$ be the part of the boundary of B on the α curves, and let $\partial(\partial^\alpha B)$ be the part of the boundary of $\partial^\alpha B$ in the interior of the diagram, as a signed sum of intersection points. Then $\partial(\partial^\alpha B)=\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}$ (intuitively, the α-boundary of B starts at points of $\mathbf{x}$ and ends at points of $\mathbf{y}$, and vice versa for the β-boundary).

- There is a grading on the generators of $\widehat{CFDD}(\tau)$ with a particular grading set S. There are left-right actions of $G(\mathcal{Z}_1)$ and $G(\mathcal{Z}_2)$ on S. Both actions on S are free and transitive, which means S induces a group isomorphism $G(\mathcal{Z}_1)\to G(\mathcal{Z}_2)$. This group isomorphism is an invariant of τ, up to composing by inner automorphisms of $G(\mathcal{Z}_1)$ and $G(\mathcal{Z}_2)$. In other words, τ induces an element in the set of outer isomorphisms $\mathrm{Out}(G(\mathcal{Z}_1),G(\mathcal{Z}_2))$. In fact, this outer isomorphism can be found directly from the actions of τ on the homology of the surface [10, Section 5.2].

- For any arcslide $\tau: F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_1)\to F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_2)$, let $\bar{\tau}: F^\circ(-\mathcal{Z}_1)\to F^\circ(-\mathcal{Z}_2)$ be the arcslide with reversed orientation. Then ${}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_1),\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_2)}\widehat{CFDD}(\tau)$ and ${}^{\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_1),\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_2)}\widehat{CFDD}(\bar{\tau})$ are *dual* to each other. For a type DD bimodule M, its dual $\overline{M}$ is the type DD bimodule over the same generators, and each term $\mathbf{x}\to a_1\otimes a_2\otimes\mathbf{y}$ in the type DD action of M corresponds to a term $\mathbf{y}\to a_1\otimes a_2\otimes\mathbf{x}$ in the type DD action of $\overline{M}$. So the left actions by $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_1)$ and $\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_2)$ on $\widehat{CFDD}(\tau)$ become right actions by the same algebras, or equivalently left actions by $\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_1)$ and $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_2)$ on $\overline{M}$.

2.6 Main Constructions

Having described $\widehat{CFDD}(\tau)$ for an arbitrary arcslide $\tau: F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_1)\to F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_2)$, we may define $\widehat{CFDA}(\tau)$ combinatorially as the tensor product

$$ {}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_1)}\widehat{CFDA}(\tau)_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_2)} = {}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_1),\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_2)}\widehat{CFDD}(\tau)\boxtimes\widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}_2})_{\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_2),\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_2)} \tag{15}$$

We should clarify that while equation (6) is a statement about analytically defined invariants, proved using analysis, equation (15) is a part of the combinatorial construction of $\widehat{HF}$, for which we will prove invariance using combinatorial

methods. Similar distinctions should be made for the other definitions in this section.

Given this, we can define $\widehat{CFDA}(\phi)$ for an arbitrary element ϕ of the strongly-based mapping class groupoid, by factoring ϕ into arcslides. The precise statement is:

Construction 1. *Given an element $\phi : F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_1) \to F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_{n+1})$ of the strongly-based mapping class groupoid, let $\phi = \tau_n \circ \cdots \circ \tau_1$, where $\tau_i : F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_i) \to F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_{i+1})$ be a factorization of ϕ. Write $\vec{\tau_i}$ for the sequence $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n$. Define*

$$ {}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_1)}\widehat{CFDA}(\phi, \vec{\tau_i})_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_{n+1})} = {}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_1)}\widehat{CFDA}(\tau_1)_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_2)} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes {}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_n)}\widehat{CFDA}(\tau_n)_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_{n+1})}. $$

Theorem 2. *The homotopy type of $\widehat{CFDA}(\phi, \vec{\tau_i})$ does not depend on the choice of factorization $\vec{\tau_i}$. Hence, $\widehat{CFDA}(\phi, \vec{\tau_i})$ is an invariant of ϕ up to homotopy equivalence.*

The analytical version of Theorem 2 is implied by the invariance of $\widehat{CFDA}$ for 3-manifolds with two boundary components. We will prove it combinatorially in section 3. Knowing this, we may use the notation $\widehat{CFDA}(\phi)$ to represent $\widehat{CFDA}(\phi, \vec{\tau_i})$ for some factorization $\vec{\tau_i}$ of ϕ. The pairing theorem implies that this definition of $\widehat{CFDA}(\tau)$ agrees with the analytic definition.

The other bimodule invariants $\widehat{CFDD}(\phi)$, $\widehat{CFAA}(\phi)$, and $\widehat{CFAD}(\phi)$ for a general morphism $\phi : F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_1) \to F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_2)$ can then be defined as:

$$ {}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_1),\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_2)}\widehat{CFDD}(\phi) = {}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_1)}\widehat{CFDA}(\phi)_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_2)} \boxtimes {}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_2),\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_2)}\widehat{CFDD}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}_2}) \quad (16) $$

$$ \widehat{CFAA}(\phi)_{\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_1),\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_2)} = \widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}_1})_{\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_1),\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_1)} \boxtimes {}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_1)}\widehat{CFDA}(\phi)_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_2)} \quad (17) $$

$$ {}^{\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_2)}\widehat{CFAD}(\phi)_{\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_1)} = \widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}_1})_{\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_1),\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_1)} \boxtimes {}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_1),\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_2)}\widehat{CFDD}(\phi). \quad (18) $$

Since $\widehat{CFDD}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ is the quasi-inverse of $\widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ (as will be shown later this chapter), this new definition of $\widehat{CFDD}(\phi)$ for a general ϕ is consistent (up to homotopy equivalence) with the previous definition of $\widehat{CFDD}(\tau)$ for arcslides. Also, expanding out the definitions, we see $\widehat{CFAD}(\phi) \simeq \widehat{CFAA}(\phi) \boxtimes \widehat{CFDD}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}_2})$.

To construct invariants of closed 3-manifolds, we need one more building block: $\widehat{CFD}$ of the 0-framed handlebody $\mathbf{H}^g$. This is a 3-manifold with one boundary component, given by the Heegaard diagram in Figure 4.

As in the diagram for arcslides, the small circles are 1-handle attachment points, paired consecutively. The larger circles are β circles, and all other arcs inside the boundary are α arcs. From the way the α arcs meet the boundary, we see that the boundary of $\mathbf{H}^g$ is parametrized by the split pointed matched circle of genus g. This is the pointed matched circle with matching

$$ (1,3), (2,4), (5,7), (6,8), \ldots, (4g-3, 4g-1), (4g-2, 4g). $$



Figure 4: Heegaard diagram for the 0-framed handlebody. The numbers at bottom label points in $\mathcal{Z}^g$. Points in $-\mathcal{Z}^g$ will be labeled in the reverse order.

From now on we will denote it by $\mathcal{Z}^g$. While it is true that $-\mathcal{Z}^g = \mathcal{Z}^g$, we will usually distinguish them in order to emphasize orientation changes.

The orientation reversal $-\mathbf{H}^g$ is called the ∞-framed handlebody. Its boundary is also parametrized by $\mathcal{Z}^g$ (using the identification $-\mathcal{Z}^g = \mathcal{Z}^g$). The Heegaard diagram for the ∞-framed handlebody is the reflection of that for the 0-framed handlebody (so for instance, the location of 1-handle attachment points are above the odd points in $\mathcal{Z}^g$ rather than the even points).

The invariant $\widehat{CFD}(\mathbf{H}^g)$ has left type D action by $\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}^g)$. It is generated by a single generator $\mathbf{x}$, corresponding to the set of intersection points indicated in Figure 4. The idempotent of $\mathbf{x}$ contains pairs $(2,4),(6,8),\dots$ in $-\mathcal{Z}^g$ (pairs corresponding to α-arcs not occupied by $\mathbf{x}$; note the labeling of points for $-\mathcal{Z}^g$ is reversed). The type D action is

$$\delta^1\mathbf{x} = \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{D}} a(\xi)\cdot\mathbf{x},$$

where $\mathcal{D}$ is the collection of chords $2\to 4, 6\to 8$, etc.

As usual, we denote $\overline{\widehat{CFD}}(\mathbf{H}^g)$ to be the dual of $\widehat{CFD}(\mathbf{H}^g)$. This is a right type D structure over $\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}^g)$, or equivalently a left type D structure over $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}^g)$. From the definition using holomorphic curves, it is clear that $\overline{\widehat{CFD}}(\mathbf{H}^g) \simeq \widehat{CFD}(-\mathbf{H}^g)$. For our purposes we can simply *define* this to be the case.

We now give the combinatorial construction of $\widehat{HF}(Y)$ for a closed 3-manifold Y, following the spirit of the construction in [10].

Construction 3. *Let Y be a closed 3-manifold. Choose a Heegaard splitting $Y_1\cup_\phi Y_2$ of Y. Fix a basepoint z on the gluing boundary $Y_1\cap Y_2$. Fix basepoint-preserving diffeomorphisms $f_1:\mathbf{H}^g\to Y_1$ and $f_2:-\mathbf{H}^g\to Y_2$ from Y_1, Y_2 to the standard handlebodies. Let f_{1*} and f_{2*} be the restrictions of f_1 and f_2 to the boundary. Let $\psi = f_{2*}^{-1}\circ\phi\circ f_{1*}$ be the induced gluing map between standard handlebodies. This is an element of the strongly-based mapping class group $F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}^g)\to F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}^g)$. Define:*

$$\widehat{HF}(Y,Y_1,Y_2,f_1,f_2) = \widehat{CFAA}(\psi)_{\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}^g),\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}^g)}\boxtimes^{\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}^g)}\widehat{CFD}(\mathbf{H}^g)\boxtimes^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}^g)}\overline{\widehat{CFD}}(\mathbf{H}^g).$$

Theorem 4. *The homotopy type of $\widehat{HF}(Y,Y_1,Y_2,f_1,f_2)$ does not depend on the choice of Heegaard splitting $Y = Y_1\cup_\phi Y_2$ or the parametrizations f_1, f_2. Therefore it gives an invariant of Y up to homotopy equivalence.*

We will prove Theorem 4 combinatorially in section 4. Given this theorem, we can simplify notation by writing $\widehat{HF}(Y)$ for $\widehat{HF}(Y, Y_1, Y_2, f_1, f_2)$, for some choice of Heegaard splitting and parametrizations. From the construction, it is clear that this is equivalent to the definition of $\widehat{HF}(Y)$ using holomorphic curves.

3 Representation of the mapping class groupoid

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 2, showing that the combinatorial construction of $\widehat{CFDA}(\phi)$, for an element ϕ of the mapping class groupoid, does not depend on the choice of factorization of ϕ into arcslides.

For this purpose, it is necessary to understand relations among arcslides. This is studied in detail in [1] and [16]. The notions of pointed matched circles and arcslides correspond to linear chord diagrams and chord slides in these papers. We now give a summary of the results.

Locally, an arcslide can be viewed as one end of the B pair sliding along the C pair:



(19)

In this diagram, the three short segments denote portions of the straight line in the pointed matched circle. The upper, stationary arc denotes the C pair; and the lower, moving arc denotes the B pair.

There are five types of relations on arcslides, and together they exhaust all relations. The local diagrams for the five relations are as follows (see [1, Theorem 6.2, Figure 6.1]):

- Triangle



(20)

- Involution



(21)

- Commutativity



(22)

- Left Pentagon



(23)

- Right Pentagon



(24)

Each relation gives one way to factor the identity morphism $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ starting and ending at some pointed matched circle $\mathcal{Z}$. For proving Theorem 2, it suffices to check that for each such factorization

$$\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}} = \tau_n \circ \cdots \circ \tau_1,$$

the corresponding homotopy equivalence

$$\widehat{CFDA}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}}) \simeq \widehat{CFDA}(\tau_1) \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \widehat{CFDA}(\tau_n) \tag{25}$$

holds. Note that in general, the starting and ending pointed matched circles of τ_i may be different from $\mathcal{Z}$. This is the main reason why we need to consider strongly-based mapping class groupoids, even if we are only interested in statements about strongly-based mapping class groups.

We now sketch the overall strategy for verifying equation (25). With our combinatorial definition of $\widehat{CFDA}(\tau)$, we can readily enumerate the set of generators on the right side of the equation. There are, however, more generators than on the left side. The *cancellation lemma* for type DA bimodules describes situations in which we can prove that a bimodule is homotopy equivalent to one with 2 fewer generators. Using it, we can remove generators from the right side in pairs, so that the set of remaining generators matches that on the left side. The cancellation lemma is stated and proved in section 3.1.

It turns out that a type DA bimodule with the same set of generators as ${}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})}$, and that has a few more properties in common with ${}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})}$, must be homotopy equivalent to ${}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})}$. We prove two lemmas of this kind, which we call *rigidity lemmas*, in section 3.2. The first lemma will be used to prove the involution relation, and the second lemma will be used for all other relations. The idea here is that once the involution relation is proved, we can show that $\widehat{CFDA}(\tau)$ is quasi-invertible for any arcslide τ, which implies that any tensor product of such bimodules is quasi-invertible. This means checking the quasi-invertibility condition in the second lemma becomes trivial, and we can avoid checking the more involved condition in the first lemma that it replaces. We note here that the rigidity lemmas depend on specific properties of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$, and is not applicable to dg-algebras in general.

To apply the cancellation lemma, and in the case of the involution relation, the rigidity lemma, we need to compute certain arrows in the type DA action of

the bimodule on the right side. To prepare for this, we review the construction of $\widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ in section 3.3, and compute in section 3.4 some arrows in the type DA action of $\widehat{CFDA}(\tau)$ for arcslides τ (the components in the tensor product).

In section 3.5, we describe how to enumerate the set of generators of a tensor product of $\widehat{CFDA}(\tau_i)$, where τ_i are arcslides, and how properties of $\widehat{CFDD}(\tau_i)$ carry over to the tensor product. With all these preparations in place, we prove equation (25) for the involution relation in section 3.6, and for the other relations in section 3.7.

3.1 Cancellation lemmas

In this section we prove cancellation lemmas for type D and type DA structures over a dg-algebra. Everything will be done over the field $\mathbb{F}_2$. Both proofs are generalizations of the proof in the case of chain complexes. This result is well-known (see, for example, [7, Section 2.6]). The only things the reader needs to know for later sections are Definition 7, Theorem 8, and the remarks at the end.

For a type D structure M over a dg-algebra A, with a fixed set of generators $\mathcal{G}$. For each $g \in \mathcal{G}$ let $i(g)$ be the idempotent of g. For any $x, y \in \mathcal{G}$, let c_{xy} the coefficient of y in $\delta^1(x)$. That is, if:

$$\delta^1 x = \sum_i a_i \otimes g_i,$$

with each $a_i \in A$, and g_i distinct elements of $\mathcal{G}$, such that $a_i \cdot i(g_i) = a_i$, then $c_{x,g_i} = a_i$.

We say that an element $a \in A$ is *invertible* if there exists $a' \in A$ such that $aa' = a'a = i$ for some idempotent $i \in A$. We choose such an inverse and denote it by a^{-1}. Now suppose that for some $x, y \in \mathcal{G}$, the coefficient c_{xy} is invertible in A, and $d(c_{xy}) = 0$. Then there is a new type D structure M', generated by $\mathcal{G}' = \mathcal{G} \setminus \{x, y\}$ and with the type D action

$$\delta^{1'} a = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{G}'} (c_{ab} + c_{ay} c_{xy}^{-1} c_{xb}) \otimes b, \tag{26}$$

for any $a \in \mathcal{G}'$. The first part of each term in the sum is simply the original δ^1 (excluding terms involving x and y). The second term is as follows: for each "zigzag" as shown in Figure 5 in M, the term $c_{ay} c_{xy}^{-1} c_{xb} \otimes b$ is added to $\delta^{1'} a$. The coefficient can be read out by "following the arrows" from a to b, treating a reversed arrow as taking inverse.

The *cancellation lemma* states that $\delta^{1'}$ satisfies the type D structure equations, and the resulting type D structure M' is homotopy equivalent to M. The homotopy equivalence is given by type D morphisms $f : M \to M'$ and $g : M' \to M$ where:

$$f(x) = 0, f(y) = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{G}'} c_{xy}^{-1} c_{xb} \otimes b, \text{ and } f(a) = 1 \otimes a \text{ for } a \in \mathcal{G}'. \tag{27}$$



Figure 5: Standard example of a cancellation.

$$g(a) = 1 \otimes a + c_{ay} c_{xy}^{-1} \otimes x. \tag{28}$$

For the zigzag in Figure 5, we follow the arrows from y to b in the map f, and from a to x in the map g.

The homotopy $h : M \to M$ is given by $h(y) = c_{xy}^{-1} \otimes x$ and $h(a) = 0$ for any generator $a \neq y$. Then the precise statement of the cancellation lemma is:

Theorem 5 (Cancellation Lemma for Type D structures)**.** *With the above definitions,*

1. *The action $\delta^{1'}$ on M' satisfies the type D structure equation.*
2. *The* $\mathbf{k}$*-module maps f and g satisfy the structure equation for type D morphisms.*
3. *$f \circ g = \mathbb{I}$ and $g \circ f = \mathbb{I} + h \circ \delta^1 + \delta^1 \circ h$.*

and therefore M' is homotopy equivalent to M.

Proof. 1. The type D structure equation on M is:

$$(\mu_2 \otimes \mathbb{I}_M) \circ (\mathbb{I}_A \otimes \delta^1) \circ \delta^1 + (\mu_1 \otimes \mathbb{I}_M) \circ \delta^1 = 0.$$

Applied to an arbitrary $a \in \mathcal{G}$, this is,

$$dc_{ac} + \sum_{b \in \mathcal{G}} c_{ab} c_{bc} = 0. \tag{29}$$

for any $c \in \mathcal{G}$. Likewise, we need to prove:

$$dc'_{ac} + \sum_{b \in \mathcal{G}'} c'_{ab} c'_{bc} = 0. \tag{30}$$

for any $a, c \in \mathcal{G}'$, where the coefficients are

$$c'_{ab} = c_{ab} + c_{ay} c_{xy}^{-1} c_{xb}. \tag{31}$$

Expanding (30), our goal is to show:

$$\begin{aligned} & dc_{ac} + (dc_{ay}) c_{xy}^{-1} c_{xc} + c_{ay} (dc_{xy}^{-1}) c_{xc} + c_{ay} c_{xy}^{-1} (dc_{xc}) \\ + & \sum_{b \in \mathcal{G}'} c_{ab} c_{bc} + c_{ay} c_{xy}^{-1} c_{xb} c_{bc} + c_{ab} c_{by} c_{xy}^{-1} c_{xc} + c_{ay} c_{xy}^{-1} c_{xb} c_{by} c_{xy}^{-1} c_{xc} \\ = & \ 0. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Using (29) on a and c, we have:

$$dc_{ac} + \sum_{b \in \mathcal{G}'} c_{ab}c_{bc} = c_{ax}c_{xc} + c_{ay}c_{yc}. \tag{33}$$

Using the same equation on a and y, we have:

$$dc_{ay} + \sum_{b \in \mathcal{G}'} c_{ab}c_{by} = c_{ax}c_{xy} + c_{ay}c_{yy}. \tag{34}$$

Using the invertibility of c_{xy}, we get:

$$c_{ax} = \left(dc_{ay} + c_{ay}c_{yy} + \sum_{b \in \mathcal{G}'} c_{ab}c_{by} \right) c_{xy}^{-1}. \tag{35}$$

And so

$$c_{ax}c_{xc} = dc_{ay}c_{xy}^{-1}c_{xc} + c_{ay}c_{yy}c_{xy}^{-1}c_{xc} + \sum_{b \in \mathcal{G}'} c_{ab}c_{by}c_{xy}^{-1}c_{xc}. \tag{36}$$

Following a similar procedure on x and c, we get:

$$c_{yc} = c_{xy}^{-1} \left(dc_{xc} + c_{xx}c_{xc} + \sum_{b \in \mathcal{G}'} c_{xb}c_{bc} \right). \tag{37}$$

And then,

$$c_{ay}c_{yc} = c_{ay}c_{xy}^{-1}dc_{xc} + c_{ay}c_{xy}^{-1}c_{xx}c_{xc} + \sum_{b \in \mathcal{G}'} c_{ay}c_{xy}^{-1}c_{xb}c_{bc} \tag{38}$$

Using (29) on x and y, we get:

$$c_{xx}c_{xy} + c_{xy}c_{yy} + \sum_{b \in \mathcal{G}'} c_{xb}c_{by} = dc_{xy}, \tag{39}$$

and so

$$d(c_{xy}^{-1}) = c_{xy}^{-1}(dc_{xy})c_{xy}^{-1} = c_{xy}^{-1}c_{xx} + c_{yy}c_{xy}^{-1} + \sum_{b \in \mathcal{G}'} c_{xy}^{-1}c_{xb}c_{by}c_{xy}^{-1}. \tag{40}$$

Combining (33), (36), (38) and (40), we see equation (32) holds.

2. We want to prove that f and g satisfy the structure equation for type D morphisms, which is, for a $\mathbf{k}$-module map $\phi : M \to A \otimes N$:

$$(\mu_2 \otimes \mathbb{I}_N) \circ (\mathbb{I}_A \otimes \delta_N^1) \circ \phi + (\mu_2 \otimes \mathbb{I}_N) \circ (\mathbb{I}_A \otimes \phi) \circ \delta_M^1 + (\mu_1 \otimes \mathbb{I}_N) \circ \phi = 0. \tag{41}$$

For a map ϕ and a, b generators of M and N, respectively, let ϕ_{ab} be the coefficient of b in $\phi(a)$. Then, applying the above equation to an arbitrary generator a of M, we see that the structure equation is equivalent to

$$d\phi_{ac} + \sum_{b \in \mathcal{G}(N)} \phi_{ab} c_{bc,N} + \sum_{b' \in \mathcal{G}(M)} c_{ab',M} \phi_{b'c} = 0 \tag{42}$$

for any $c \in \mathcal{G}(N)$.

For the map $f : M \to A \otimes M'$, we have:

$$f_{yb} = c_{xy}^{-1} c_{xb}, \quad f_{bb} = 1 \text{ for } b \in \mathcal{G}', \tag{43}$$

and all other coefficients zero. In (42), if $a = x$, then only the third term remains, and

$$c_{xy} f_{yc} + \sum_{b' \in \mathcal{G}'} c_{xb'} f_{b'c} = c_{xy} c_{xy}^{-1} c_{xc} + c_{xc} = 0,$$

as desired. If $a = y$:

$$\begin{aligned} & df_{yc} + \left(c_{yx} f_{xc} + c_{yy} f_{yc} + \sum_{b \in \mathcal{G}'} f_{yb} c'_{bc} \right) + \sum_{b \in \mathcal{G}'} c_{yb} f_{bc} \\ = \quad & d(c_{xy}^{-1} c_{xc}) + c_{yy} c_{xy}^{-1} c_{xc} + c_{yc} + \sum_{b \in \mathcal{G}'} c_{xy}^{-1} c_{xb} (c_{bc} + c_{by} c_{xy}^{-1} c_{xc}). \end{aligned}$$

Using (37) for c_{yc} and (39) for $\sum_{b \in \mathcal{G}'} c_{xb} c_{by}$, we can check this is indeed zero. Finally, if $a \in \mathcal{G}'$, then (42) reduces to:

$$0 = c'_{ac} + c_{ay} f_{yc} + c_{ac} = c'_{ac} + c_{ay} c_{xy}^{-1} c_{xc} + c_{ac},$$

which follows by the definition of c'_{ac}.

For $g : M' \to A \otimes M$, we have:

$$g_{aa} = 1, \quad g_{ax} = c_{ay} c_{xy}^{-1} \text{ for } a \in \mathcal{G}', \tag{44}$$

and all other coefficients zero. This time we go over cases for c in (42). If $c = x$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} & dg_{ax} + g_{ax} c_{xx} + g_{ay} c_{yx} + \sum_{b \in \mathcal{G}'} g_{ab} c_{bx} + \sum_{b \in \mathcal{G}'} c'_{ab} g_{bx} \\ = \quad & d(c_{ay} c_{xy}^{-1}) + c_{ay} c_{xy}^{-1} c_{xx} + c_{ax} + \sum_{b \in \mathcal{G}'} (c_{ab} + c_{ay} c_{xy}^{-1} c_{xb}) c_{by} c_{xy}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

As in the calculations for f, we use (35) to replace c_{ax} and (39) to replace $\sum_{b \in \mathcal{G}'} c_{xb} c_{by}$, to get this is zero.

If $c = y$, then only the second term remains, with

$$g_{ax} c_{xy} + \sum_{b \in \mathcal{G}'} g_{ab} c_{by} = c_{ay} c_{xy}^{-1} c_{xy} + c_{ay} = 0.$$

If $c \in \mathcal{G}'$, then

$$0 = g_{ax}c_{xc} + c_{ac} + c'_{ac} = c_{ay}c_{xy}^{-1}c_{xc} + c_{ac} + c'_{ac},$$

which follows by the definition of c'_{ac}.

3. In general, the composition of two maps $\phi : M \to A \otimes N$ and $\psi : N \to A \otimes P$ is given by:

$$\psi \circ \phi = (\mu_2 \otimes \mathbb{I}_P) \circ (\mathbb{I}_A \otimes \psi) \circ \phi.$$

In terms of coefficients, this is:

$$(\psi \circ \phi)_{ab} = \sum_{c \in \mathcal{G}(N)} \phi_{ac}\psi_{cb}, \tag{45}$$

for any $a \in \mathcal{G}(M)$ and $b \in \mathcal{G}(P)$.

We first check that $f \circ g : M' \to A \otimes M'$ is the identity morphism. That is, $(f \circ g)_{aa} = 1$ for all $a \in \mathcal{G}'$ and other coefficients are zero. We have:

$$(f \circ g)_{ab} = \sum_{c \in \mathcal{G}} g_{ac}f_{cb}.$$

However, from the expressions for f and g we see neither $c = x$ and $c = y$ contributes. Then the only contributing term is $c = a = b$, with $f_{aa}g_{aa} = 1$.

Next, we show $g \circ f = \mathbb{I} + h \circ \delta^1 + \delta^1 \circ h$. For $h : M \to A \otimes M$, we have:

$$h_{yx} = c_{xy}^{-1},$$

and all other coefficients zero. On the left side,

$$(g \circ f)_{ab} = \sum_{c \in \mathcal{G}'} f_{ac}g_{cb}.$$

If $a, b \in \mathcal{G}'$, then the only nonzero term is $a = b = c$ giving $(g \circ f)_{aa} = 1$ for $a \in \mathcal{G}'$. If $a = y$ and $b \in \mathcal{G}'$, then $(g \circ f)_{yb} = f_{yb} = c_{xy}^{-1}c_{xb}$. If $b = x$ and $a \in \mathcal{G}'$, then $(g \circ f)_{ax} = g_{ax} = c_{ay}c_{xy}^{-1}$. Finally, if $a = y$ and $b = x$, then

$$(g \circ f)_{yx} = \sum_{c \in \mathcal{G}'} f_{yc}g_{cx} = \sum_{c \in \mathcal{G}'} c_{xy}^{-1}c_{xc}c_{cy}c_{xy}^{-1} = d(c_{xy}^{-1}) + c_{xy}^{-1}c_{xx} + c_{yy}c_{xy}^{-1}.$$

Now on the right side, the identity term contributes $\mathbb{I}_{xx} = 1, \mathbb{I}_{yy} = 1$ and $\mathbb{I}_{aa} = 1$ for $a \in \mathcal{G}'$. The term $h \circ \delta^1$ contributes

$$(h \circ \delta^1)_{ab} = \sum_{c \in \mathcal{G}} c_{ac}h_{cb}.$$

For this to be nonzero we must have $b = x$ and $c = y$, then this is $(h \circ \delta^1)_{ax} = c_{ay}h_{yx} = c_{ay}c_{xy}^{-1}$. The $a = x$ part gives the identity term for

x. Setting $a = y$ gives $c_{yy}c_{xy}^{-1}$, and $a \in \mathcal{G}'$ gives $c_{ay}c_{xy}^{-1}$. Likewise, the term $\delta^1 \circ h$ contributes:

$$(\delta^1 \circ h)_{ab} = \sum_{c \in \mathcal{G}} h_{ac}c_{cb}.$$

For this to be nonzero we must have $a = y$ and $c = x$, then this is $(\delta^1 \circ h)_{yb} = h_{yx}c_{xb} = c_{xy}^{-1}c_{xb}$. The $b = y$ part gives the identity term for y. Setting $b = x$ gives $c_{xy}^{-1}c_{xx}$, and $b \in \mathcal{G}'$ gives $c_{xy}^{-1}c_{xb}$. Checking all the terms, we see the two sides are the same as long as $d(c_{xy}^{-1}) = 0$, or equivalently $d(c_{xy}) = 0$.

□

The cancellation lemma for type DA bimodules follows from that for type D modules, by viewing type DA bimodules over A' and A as type D modules over $\widehat{\mathrm{Cob}}(A) \otimes A'$ (see Remark 2.2.35 in [9]).

Definition 6. *Given a strand algebra A, let A_+ be the sub-dg-algebra of A generated by the non-idempotent generators. The cobar resolution* $\mathrm{Cob}(A)$ *is defined as $T^*(A_+[1]^*)$, the tensor algebra of the dual of A_+. This can be given the structure of a dg-algebra, with product being the one on the tensor algebra, and the differential consisting of the following arrows:*

- $a_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes b^* \otimes \cdots \otimes a_k^* \to a_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes a_i^* \otimes \cdots \otimes a_k^*$, *for each i and term b in da_i,*
- $a_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes a_i^* \otimes \cdots \otimes a_k^* \to a_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes b^* \otimes b'^* \otimes \cdots \otimes a_k^*$, *for each i and generators b, b' such that $bb' = a_i$.*

Furthermore, we denote $\widehat{\mathrm{Cob}}(A)$ to be the completion of $\mathrm{Cob}(A)$ *with respect to the length filtration (that is, an element of $\widehat{\mathrm{Cob}}(A)$ is a formal sum of elements in $A_+[1]^{\otimes i}$ for possibly infinitely many i).*

The category of type DA bimodules over A' on the D-side and A on the A-side is equivalent to the category of type D modules over $\widehat{\mathrm{Cob}}(A) \otimes A'$, where the arrow

$$\delta_{i+1}^1(\mathbf{x}, a_1, \ldots, a_i) \to c \otimes \mathbf{y}$$

in the action of a type DA bimodule M corresponds to the arrow

$$\delta^1(\mathbf{x}) \to (a_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes a_i^*) \otimes c \otimes \mathbf{z}$$

in the action of the type D module corresponding to M.

Using this correspondence, we can define "coefficients" on a type DA bimodule:

Definition 7. *Given two generators x, y of M, define the coefficient C_{xy} to be the formal sum, in $\widehat{\mathrm{Cob}}(A') \otimes A$, of $(a_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes a_i^*) \otimes c$ over all arrows of the form $\delta_{1+i}^1(x; a_1, \ldots, a_i) \to c \otimes y$.*

This allows us to state the cancellation lemma for type DA bimodules:

Theorem 8 (Cancellation Lemma for Type DA structures)**.** *Let M be a type DA bimodule with type D action by A' on the left and A_∞ action by A on the right. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the set of generators of M. Suppose there are $x, y \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $C_{xy} = 1 \otimes a$ with a invertible in A and $da = 0$. Set $C_{xy}^{-1} = 1 \otimes a^{-1}$. Then there are:*

1. *A type DA bimodule M', generated by $\mathcal{G}' = \mathcal{G} \setminus \{x, y\}$, with coefficients $C'_{ab} = C_{ab} + C_{ay}C_{xy}^{-1}C_{xb}$.*
2. *Two type DA morphisms $f : M \otimes A'^{\otimes} \to A \otimes M'$ and $g : M' \otimes A'^{\otimes} \to A \otimes M$ with coefficients $f_{yb} = C_{xy}^{-1}C_{xb}, f_{bb} = 1$ for $b \in \mathcal{G}'$, and $g_{aa} = 1, g_{ax} = C_{ay}C_{xy}^{-1}$ for $a \in \mathcal{G}$, and all other coefficients zero.*
3. *A map $h : M \otimes A'^{\otimes} \to A \otimes M$ with coefficient $h_{yx} = C_{xy}^{-1}$, and all other coefficients zero, such that $f \circ g = \mathbb{I}$ and $g \circ f = \mathbb{I} + h \circ \delta^1 + \delta^1 \circ h$.*

These imply that M' is homotopy equivalent to M.

We end this section with a remark on gradings. If M is graded by a grading set S_M, and if every generator being cancelled is homogeneous in grading, then M' is also graded by S_M, with the grading of each generator in M' equal to the grading of the corresponding generator in M. The arrows that are added to M' satisfy the grading constraints, because they come from traversing a zig-zag as in Figure 5, where each of the three arrows in the zig-zag satisfy the grading constraints. The homogeneity condition of cancelled generators will be automatically satisfied in our case.

3.2 Characterization of the identity bimodule

In this section, we give two lemmas on certain conditions under which we can assert a type DA bimodule ${}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})}M_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})}$ is homotopy equivalent to the identity bimodule ${}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})}$. The main result we use is the characterization of the type DD invariant of identity diffeomorphism, given in [10]. We will start by reviewing that result here.

Definition 9 ([10, Definition 3.1])**.** *The* diagonal subalgebra *of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}) \otimes \mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z})$ is the algebra generated by $a \otimes b$, where a and b satisfy the following conditions:* $\mathrm{mult}(a) = \mathrm{mult}(\bar{b})$*, the left idempotents of a and b are complementary, and the right idempotents of a and b are complementary.*

Theorem 10 ([10, Proposition 3.8, Proof of Theorem 1])**.** *Let M be a left type DD bimodule over $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$ and $\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z})$, where $\mathcal{Z}$ has genus greater than one. Suppose M satisfies the following conditions, then M is isomorphic to $\widehat{CFDD}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})$.*

1. *The generators of M are in one-to-one correspondence with the idempotents of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$, so that the generator corresponding to idempotent i has (type D) idempotents i and $\overline{o(i)}$.*

2. *For any arrow $\mathbf{x} \to a \otimes b \otimes \mathbf{y}$ in the differential of M, the element $a \otimes b$ lies in the diagonal subalgebra.*

3. *M is graded by a λ-free grading set S, with a left-right $G(\mathcal{Z})$-$G(\mathcal{Z})$ action.*

4. *The differential in M contains all arrows of the form*

$$\mathbf{x} \to a(\xi) \otimes \overline{a(\xi)} \otimes \mathbf{y},$$

where ξ is a length-1 chord.

In the case where $\mathcal{Z}$ has genus one, if M satisfies an additional stability condition, in the sense of [10, Definition 1.10], then we can still conclude that $M = \widehat{CFDD}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})$.

The following result will be used in the proof of the second lemma:

Proposition 11. *Let $M = {}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})}M_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})}$ be a left-right type DA bimodule over $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$-$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$. Suppose M satisfies the following two conditions:*

1. *M is homotopy equivalent to the identity bimodule ${}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})}$.*

2. *The generators of M are in one-to-one correspondence with the idempotents of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$, so that the generator corresponding to idempotent i has both left (type D) and right (type A) idempotent equal to i.*

Then the type DA action on M contains all arrows of the form

$$\delta_2^1(\mathbf{x}, a(\xi)) \to a(\xi) \otimes \mathbf{y}, \tag{46}$$

where ξ is a length-1 chord.

Proof. Consider generators $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$ corresponding to idempotents $i, j \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$, and ξ a length-1 chord, such that the idempotent matches in the arrow (46). We want to show that (46) does exist as an arrow.

Let T_D be a type D structure over $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$ with two generators $\mathbf{x}_D$ and $\mathbf{y}_D$, whose idempotents are i and j, such that $\delta^1(\mathbf{x}_D) = a(\xi) \otimes \mathbf{y}_D$ and $\delta^1(\mathbf{y}_D) = 0$. It is clear that δ^1 satisfies the type D structure equations.

Likewise, let T_A be the A_∞-module over $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$ with two generators $\mathbf{x}_A$ and $\mathbf{y}_A$ whose idempotents are i and j, such that $m_{1,1}(\mathbf{x}_A, a(\xi)) \to \mathbf{y}_A$ is the only arrow in the A_∞ action.

Consider the tensor product $T_A \boxtimes N \boxtimes T_D$, with $N = M$ or $N = \mathbb{I}$. This is a chain complex with two generators $\mathbf{x}_A \otimes \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{x}_D$ and $\mathbf{y}_A \otimes \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{y}_D$, and there is an arrow between these two if and only if the arrow (46) exists in N for the given $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$ and $a(\xi)$. In particular, $T_A \boxtimes \mathbb{I} \boxtimes T_D$ has zero homology. By assumption, $M \simeq \mathbb{I}$, so $T_A \boxtimes M \boxtimes T_D$ must also have zero homology. This shows the arrow (46) exists in M. □

We now state and prove the lemmas on the characterization of $\widehat{CFDA}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})$.

Lemma 12. *Let $M = {}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})}M_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})}$ be a left-right type DA bimodule over $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$-$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$. Suppose M satisfies the following properties, then M is homotopy equivalent to the identity bimodule ${}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})}$.*

- *(ID-1). The generators of M are in one-to-one correspondence with the idempotents of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$, so that the generator corresponding to idempotent i has both left (type D) and right (type A) idempotent equal to i.*
- *(ID-2). M can be graded by a principal left-right $G(\mathcal{Z})$-$G(\mathcal{Z})$ set, such that the induced map $\phi \in \mathrm{Out}(G(\mathcal{Z}), G(\mathcal{Z}))$ (as in [10, Lemma 5.5]) is the identity map, and there is a choice of relative grading with every generator having grading zero. (The choice of grading refinement for $G(\mathcal{Z})$ is arbitrary but must be the same on both sides).*
- *(ID-3). The type DA action on M contains all arrows of the form*

$$\delta_2^1(\mathbf{x}, a(\xi)) \to a(\xi) \otimes \mathbf{y},$$

  where ξ is a length-1 chord.
- *(ID-4). M is stable in the sense of [10, Definition 1.10] (this condition is only necessary when $\mathcal{Z}$ is the unique genus-1 pointed matched circle).*

Proof. Consider the type DD bimodule $M_{DD} = M \boxtimes \widehat{CFDD}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})$. We check that M_{DD} satisfies all the conditions of Proposition 10, which would show that M_{DD} is isomorphic to $\widehat{CFDD}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})$. Since $\widehat{CFDD}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ is quasi-invertible, we obtain $M \simeq \mathbb{I}$.

Using the fact that relative grading can be chosen on $\widehat{CFDD}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ so that every generator has grading zero, Condition (ID-2) on the grading of M implies a similar condition on the grading of M_{DD}. The constraint that the type DD operation must respect the grading implies the following: for each arrow

$$\mathbf{x} \to a \otimes b \otimes \mathbf{y},$$

the multiplicities of a and $\bar{b}$ must be the same. The idempotent conditions on the diagonal subalgebra follow from the constraints on idempotents on each arrow, and the fact that both $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ have complementary idempotents. This verifies condition (2) in Proposition 10.

The other deductions are trivial. (ID-1), (ID-2), and (ID-3) imply conditions 1, 3, and 4, respectively. Condition (ID-4) implies the stability of M_{DD}, needed for the genus 1 case. □

The condition (ID-3) can still be difficult to check in actual computations. It is possible to replace it as follows.

Lemma 13. *With the same notation as in Lemma 12, if M satisfies the conditions (ID-1), (ID-2), (ID-4), and the following condition, then it is homotopy equivalent to $\mathbb{I}$.*

- *(ID-3') M is invertible, with a quasi-inverse M' that satisfies conditions (ID-1) and (ID-2).*

Proof. It suffices to show that (ID-3'), together with the other conditions, imply (ID-3). We first show that $\delta_1^1 = 0$ on M or M'. That is, there are no arrows of the form

$$\delta_1^1(\mathbf{x}) \to a \otimes \mathbf{y}.$$

By the grading constraints on any arrow, the algebra generator a must have multiplicity zero. That is, it must be an idempotent in $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$. However, this would mean that the grading of $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ differ by λ, contradicting the assumption that all generators in M (or M') have grading zero.

Both M and its quasi-inverse M' also satisfy condition (ID-1), so by [9, Lemma 2.2.50], they can be represented as ${}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})}[\phi]_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})}$ and ${}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})}[\phi']_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})}$ respectively, for A_∞-algebra morphisms $\phi, \phi' : \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}) \to \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$. Then $M \boxtimes M'$ is represented by ${}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})}[\phi' \circ \phi]_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})}$.

Since $M \boxtimes M'$ satisfies the grading condition (ID-2), the map $\phi' \circ \phi$ must preserve gradings. This means that for $a = a(\xi)$ where ξ is a length-1 chord, the only possible term in $(\phi' \circ \phi)(a)$ is a. Since $M \boxtimes M'$ is homotopy equivalent to identity, by Proposition 11, we have $(\phi' \circ \phi)(a) = a$, which implies $\phi(a) \neq 0$. By the same grading argument, either $\phi(a) = 0$ or $\phi(a) = a$. So we must have $\phi(a) = a$. This shows ϕ is the identity map on length-1 chords, which implies condition (ID-3). □

3.3 Construction of $\widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})$

In this section, we review the construction of $\widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ given in [22], in preparation for computing some arrows in $\widehat{CFDA}(\tau)$ for arcslides τ in the next section.

Given a pointed matched circle $\mathcal{Z}$, the A_∞-bimodule $\widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})_{\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}),\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})}$ is generated by pairs of complementary idempotents $i' \otimes i$, where $i \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$ and $i' = \overline{o(i)} \in \mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z})$. The generator $i' \otimes i$ has type A idempotents i' and i. An arrow in the type AA action has the form:

$$m_{k,1,l}(b'_1, \dots, b'_k; i' \otimes i; b_1, \dots, b_l) \to j' \otimes j,$$

with $b'_1, \dots, b'_k \in \mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z})$ and $b_1, \dots, b_l \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$. Here we decided to put the $\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z})$ inputs on the left of the generator, although both algebras act from the right.

To describe what are the possible arrows, we need to introduce a few more constructions. Let C be the vector space generated over $\mathbb{F}_2$ by the set of pairs $[a_1, a_2]$, where a_1 and a_2 are generators of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$, such that the initial idempotents of a_1 and a_2 are complementary. There is a differential d on C making it a chain complex. The homology C' of (C, d) is generated by those $[a_1, a_2]$ where both a_1 and a_2 are idempotents (which are then complementary). There are obvious chain maps $f : C \to C'$ and $g : C' \to C$, and a homotopy $H : C \to C$ verifying the homotopy equivalence between C and C'. See [22] for a detailed

description of the differential and homotopy on C. The chain complex (C, d) is in fact the underlying chain complex of the version of $\widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ given by (11).

There are three types of moves going from one generator of C to another. The first two types of moves carry a coefficient.

- Move $A1$: If $c\bar{b'} \neq 0$, with $b' \in \mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z})$, move from $[c\bar{b'}, a_2]$ to $[c, a_2]$ with coefficient b'.
- Move $A2$: If $a_2 b \neq 0$, with $b \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$, move from $[a_1, a_2]$ to $[a_1, a_2 b]$ with coefficient b.
- Move H: Apply one of the arrows in the homotopy map H.

With these preparations in place, we can describe the arrows in $\widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})$. Each arrow corresponds to a sequence $[a_{1,1}, a_{1,2}], \ldots, [a_{2n,1}, a_{2n,2}]$ of generators of C, satisfying the following conditions:

- $[a_{1,1}, a_{1,2}] = [o(i), i]$, and $[a_{2n,1}, a_{2n,2}] = [o(j), j]$, for some idempotents $i, j \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$.
- Each $[a_{2k,1}, a_{2k,2}]$ is obtained from $[a_{2k-1,1}, a_{2k-1,2}]$ by applying either move $A1$ or $A2$.
- Each $[a_{2k+1,1}, a_{2k+1,2}]$ is obtained from $[a_{2k,1}, a_{2k,2}]$ by applying move H.

Let $b'_1, \ldots, b'_p$ be the ordered sequence of coefficients for moves of type $A1$, and $b_1, \ldots, b_q$ be the ordered sequence of coefficients for moves of type $A2$, then such a sequence of generators of C gives rise to an arrow

$$m_{p,1,q}(b'_1, \ldots, b'_p; i' \otimes i; b_1, \ldots, b_q) \to j' \otimes j,$$

where $i' = \overline{o(i)}$ and $j' = \overline{o(j)}$.

An important property of $\widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ which follows directly from this construction is that for any arrow in the type AA action, the total multiplicity of the $\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z})$ inputs (that is, the sum of multiplicities of $b'_1, \ldots, b'_p$), equals that of the $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$ inputs (the sum of multiplicities of $b_1, \ldots, b_q$). From the definition using holomorphic curves, this is clear since each arrow comes from a domain in the standard Heegaard diagram of the identity diffeomorphism. We also note that $\widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ can be given a relative grading on which all generators have grading zero.

3.4 Certain arrows in $\widehat{CFDA}$ of arcslides

In this section we will compute some of the arrows in $\widehat{CFDA}(\tau)$ for a general arcslide τ, using equation (15). From the description in the previous section, one can expect arrows in $\widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ to be extremely complicated in general. The same would then be true for arrows in $\widehat{CFDA}(\tau)$. We will manage this complexity by focusing only on arrows whose algebra coefficients have a small

total length (say length 1 or 2 on each side). It turns out that these are sufficient to prove the needed properties of a tensor product of $\widehat{CFDA}$ of arcslides.

Since the algebra coefficients have small total length, the arrow is restricted to a small part of the Heegaard diagram. For arcslides, the parts of the Heegaard diagram that we are particularly interested in are the differences with the Heegaard diagram for the identity diffeomorphism — that is, around the points b_1, c_1, c_2 and b_1'.

One source of complexity comes from the fact that the definition of the homotopy map H in the construction of $\widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ is non-local, in that it depends on an ordering $<_{\mathcal{Z}}$ on the intervals of the pointed matched circle. In a local situation, if we cannot tell which interval comes first in the ordering, we will need to cover all possible cases. Note only the restriction of $<_{\mathcal{Z}}$ to the intervals covered by the algebra coefficients matter for determining the arrows. Whether an arrow exists may depend on the ordering, but the overall result of the calculation will not.

When we show a set of local arrows in a given local situation and restriction of the ordering $<_{\mathcal{Z}}$, we intend to make the following assertions:

- There is an arrow for every way of extending the local arrow by completing the pointed matched circle and adding the appropriate number of horizontal lines to the algebra coefficients.
- Every arrow in the bimodule action whose algebra coefficients lie within the area shown can be obtained by extending one of the local arrows.

We now begin with the simplest case: arrows in the type AA action on $\widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ where the algebra coefficients have length 1 on either side. The coefficients must then cover the same interval, by the remark at the end of section 3.3. The sequence of pairs $[a_{i,1}, a_{i,2}]$ is:



giving the arrow:

$$m_{1,1,1}\left(\left[\quad\right];\quad;\quad\right)\to\left[\quad\right]. \tag{47}$$

From (47), we obtain a simple method of deriving arrows in $\widehat{CFDA}(Y)$ from arrows in $\widehat{CFDD}(Y)$, in cases where the second coefficient of the type DD arrow has length 1 (the second algebra action is the one that is involved in the tensor product). For each type DD arrow $\mathbf{x}\to a_1\otimes a_2\otimes\mathbf{y}$, where a_2 has length 1, there corresponds a type DA arrow $\delta_2^1(\mathbf{x},\bar{a_2})\to a_1\otimes\mathbf{y}$, where by abuse of notation we used the same letter to denote corresponding generators of $\widehat{CFDA}(Y)$ and $\widehat{CFDD}(Y)$.

As an application, we give a combinatorial proof of the following:

Corollary 14. *The tensor product* $\widehat{CFDD}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}}) \boxtimes \widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ *is homotopy equivalent to* $\mathbb{I}$.

Proof. Directly check each of the conditions in Lemma 12. For Condition (ID-2), we use the relative grading on $\widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ with all generators having grading zero. For Condition (ID-3), use the type AA arrows computed here. For (ID-4), we note that attaching a pointed matched circle above or below $\mathcal{Z}$ does not affect the ordering of the intervals within $\mathcal{Z}$ that is used to describe the homotopy, so the type AA action restricted to $\mathcal{Z}$ is the same as before. □

3.4.1 Type AA on a size 2 interval

The next simplest case for type AA arrows is the size 2 interval. We assume that no two of the three points are paired with each other. There are four subcases, depending on whether the middle idempotent is occupied on the left or on the right, and whether the lower or the upper interval comes first in the ordering $<_{\mathcal{Z}}$.

Middle idempotent on the left, lower interval first in ordering. The only sequence covering the size 2 interval is:



giving the arrow:



(48)

Middle idempotent on the left, upper interval first in ordering. The only sequence covering the size 2 interval is:



giving the arrow:



(49)

Middle idempotent on the right, upper interval first in ordering. The only sequence covering the size 2 interval is:



giving the arrow:



(50)

Middle idempotent on the right, lower interval first in ordering. The only sequence covering the size 2 interval is:



giving the arrow:



(51)

3.4.2 Type DA on a size 2 interval

We now compute the type DA arrows in $\widehat{CFDA}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ that cover a size 2 interval. While the results here will not be directly used in the next two sections, it serves as a model for the calculations of similar arrows in $\widehat{CFDA}(\tau)$ for an arcslide τ.

To compute the type DA arrows, we combine the results of the previous section with what is known about type DD arrows in $\widehat{CFDD}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})$. On the size 2 interval, the possibilities are given below. On each line, $\delta^1(\mathbf{x}) \to (a, a') \otimes \mathbf{y}$ represents the arrow $\delta^1(\mathbf{x}) \to a \otimes a' \otimes \mathbf{y}$, where $a \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$ and $a' \in \mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z})$.



It is now a matter of combining these following the rules of the (box) tensor product. In the figures below, for both type DD and type AA bimodules, we will show the first algebra action on the left and the second algebra action on the right. This is purely for ease of visualization, and does not indicate which side

the algebras act on. Indeed, both actions on the type DD bimodule are on the left, and both actions on the type AA bimodule are on the right. Nevertheless, we will often talk about "left action" or "left idempotents" to match how the figures are drawn.

Each type DA arrow comes from a single type AA arrow and zero or more type DD arrows. The left outputs (in $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$) of the type DD arrows are multiplied together to give the overall type D output, while the right outputs (in $\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z})$) are given as the left inputs to the type AA arrow. The overall type A inputs in $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$ are given as the right inputs to the type AA arrow.

The right idempotent of the DD generator must agree with the left idempotent of the AA generator. The left idempotent of the DD generator and the right idempotent of the AA generator then combine to form the idempotent of the resulting DA generator.

We now look at each of the four cases in the previous section.

Middle idempotent on the left, lower interval first in ordering. The combination is shown as Figure 6. The resulting arrow is:



(52)



Figure 6: Formation of type DA operation, case 1.

Middle idempotent on the left, upper interval first in ordering. The combination is shown in Figure 7. The resulting arrow is the same as in (52). So in this case the order of the two intervals already does not matter at the DA level.



Figure 7: Formation of type DA operation, case 2.

Middle idempotent on the right, upper interval first in ordering. The combination is shown in Figure 8. The resulting arrow is:



(53)



Figure 8: Formation of type DA operation, case 3.

Middle idempotent on the right, lower interval first in ordering. The combination is shown in Figure 9. The resulting arrow is:



(54)

This arrow shows that the $\widehat{CFDA}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ we compute here is not exactly the same, but only homotopy equivalent to $\mathbb{I}$.



Figure 9: Formation of type DA operation, case 4.

3.4.3 Pieces of Arcslide

We now compute some simple arrows in $\widehat{CFDA}(\tau)$ for an arcslide τ. Since the method used here is similar to that in previous sections, we will show only the results.

The first local situation we consider is



around the point b_1 on the left pointed matched circle.

The possible type DD arrows are:



This comes directly from [10]. The only potentially tricky part is figuring out the possible locations of idempotents. For example, in the arrow $\delta^1(\mathbf{x}) \to$

$(a(\sigma), 1) \otimes \mathbf{y}$ (third and fourth arrows above; (σ) is the chord $c_1 \to b_1$), the left idempotent of $\mathbf{y}$ must be occupied at the pair B and unoccupied at the pair C. Since generators of $\widehat{CFDD}$ of an arcslide either have complementary idempotents or idempotents that are both occupied at C, the right idempotent of $\mathbf{y}$ must be occupied at the pair C (so $\mathbf{y}$ is of type X). From the idempotent of $\mathbf{y}$, we can deduce that of $\mathbf{x}$, and see that $\mathbf{x}$ is of type Y. Similar arguments are used to list possible idempotents in the other cases.

Computing the type DA arrows in this case is relatively straightforward, as we are combining with AA arrows on a size 1 interval (so we use the rule given at the beginning of this section). The results are:



A more difficult case is  ,

around b_1' on the right pointed matched circle.

The type DD operations are:



This time we will need to combine with type AA arrows on a size 2 interval, emulating the method in section 3.4.2. The results are:



The first arrow exists only if the upper interval comes first in the ordering $<_{\mathcal{Z}'}$ for the right pointed matched circle. The second arrow exists only if the lower interval comes first in the ordering. The third arrow does not depend

on ordering. However, it is formed in different ways for the two orderings — there is one step on the DD side if the upper interval comes first, and two steps otherwise. The last three arrows use only a size 1 interval on the AA side, so they do not depend on ordering at all.

The case for

is very similar. In fact the first three arrows are identical to the previous case. We record the other three arrows here for reference.



3.4.4 Type AA on two separated intervals with pairing

To consider more local situations for the arcslide, we will need type AA arrows on two separated intervals, such that either the two inner positions or the two outer positions are paired. These two cases are very similar, so we will only write out the first case here.

In this case, the upper interval immediately precedes the lower interval in the ordering $<_{\mathcal{Z}}$. If the middle idempotent (consisting of the two paired inner points) is occupied on the left, then it is not possible to multiply both intervals to the right as the first step. So the only possible sequence of $[a_{i,1}, a_{i,2}]$ is:



giving the arrow:



(55)

In these diagrams, the two middle positions are paired, and there can be an arbitrary number of points between them in the full pointed matched circle.

Since no arrow in $\widehat{CFDD}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ gives off an algebra element with two separate strands, this cannot be used to form a type DA arrow for the identity.

If the middle idempotent is occupied on the right, it is possible to multiply both intervals as the first step, but not possible to multiply only the lower interval. So the only sequence is:



This gives the type AA arrow:



$$m_{1,2,1}\left(\left[\ \right]\ ;\ \ ,\ \ ;\ \ \right)\rightarrow\left[\ \right]. \tag{56}$$

This leads to the following type DA arrow for identity:



$$\delta^1\left(\ \ ,\ \ \right)\rightarrow\ \ \otimes\ \ . \tag{57}$$

3.4.5 More Pieces of Arcslide

We now finish our analysis of various pieces of the arcslide. The next case we consider is



Here the two horizontal lines where the 1-handle is attached contain the α-arcs on the C pair. Immediately above and below these two lines are the points b_1 on the left and b_1' on the right.

The type DD arrows are:



These give rise to type DA arrows



The symmetric case



is again more complicated. The type DD arrows are:



The resulting type DA arrows are:



The derivation of the last arrow has two steps on the DD side, using the first and third type DD arrow above.

3.5 Arcslides and their compositions

Given an arcslide τ, the description of the set of generators for $\widehat{CFDA}(\tau)$ follows from that for $\widehat{CFDD}(\tau)$ and $\widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})$. The generators are classified by their idempotents on the two sides (type D idempotent on the left and type A idempotent on the right). As before, we use the canonical identification of pairs of points between the pointed matched circles on the two sides. There are two types of generators in $\widehat{CFDA}(\tau)$:

- Type X, where the idempotents on the two sides contain the same pairs.
- Type Y, where the idempotents on the two sides differ at exactly one pair, with the C pair occupied on the left and B pair occupied on the right.

Using this, and the definition of the (box) tensor product, we can enumerate the set of generators of

$$\widehat{CFDA}(\tau_1) \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \widehat{CFDA}(\tau_n)$$

for a sequence of arcslides $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$. We now describe the procedure in detail.

For a single arcslide, there is an obvious identifification the pairs of points on the starting and ending pointed matched circle (identifying the B pairs with each other, and the C pairs with each other). By combining these identifications, we obtain an identification of pairs on all pointed matched circles appearing in the sequence. Note that even if the starting and ending pointed matched circle of a sequence is the same, the identification of pairs between the two, induced by the sequence of arcslides, may not be the identity. See the triangle relation for an example.

With this identification of pairs throughout a sequence, we can talk about a pair of points in the sequence, which are pairs of points, one for each pointed matched circle in the sequence, that are identified to be the same. We assign a number from 1 to d to each pair of points in the sequence that served as either the B pair or the C pair of some arcslide, where d is the total number of such pairs.

Each generator of the tensor product $\widehat{CFDA}(\tau_1) \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \widehat{CFDA}(\tau_n)$ is of the form $\mathbf{x}_1 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mathbf{x}_n$, where $\mathbf{x}_i$ is a generator of $\widehat{CFDA}(\tau_i)$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, and the right idempotent of $\mathbf{x}_i$ agrees with the left idempotent of $\mathbf{x}_{i+1}$ for each $1 \leq i < n$. A generator $\mathbf{x}_1 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mathbf{x}_n$ is determined by the set of occupied pairs at the starting and ending pointed matched circles, and at each pointed matched circles in the middle. It is clear that each unnumbered pair must be either occupied throughout or unoccupied throughout. For the numbered pairs, the only possible changes are as follows: suppose that for a certain arcslide τ_i in the sequence, the B pair is numbered b_i and the C pair is numbered c_i, then it is possible to have c_i, but not b_i, occupied in the left idempotent of $\mathbf{x}_i$, and b_i, but not c_i, occupied in the right idempotent, with all other pairs staying the same. This corresponds to choosing $\mathbf{x}_i$ to have type Y.

We can therefore specify a type of generators by specifying which of the numbered pairs are occupied at each pointed matched circle. At each arcslide, the generator is either type X or type Y. In the first case, the occupied pairs must be the same before and after, and in the second case, the C pair for that arcslide occurs before and is replaced by the B pair. To choose a specific generator of a given type, it remains to choose which unnumbered pairs to occupy throughout, so that the total number of occupied pairs is g (half of the total $2g$ pairs).

We now study the involution relation as an example. This is the simplest case, which nevertheless illustrates most of the reasoning required. As shown in Figure 10, there are two numbered pairs ($d = 2$). Pair 1 served as the C pair and pair 2 served as the B pair for both arcslides. The possible types of generators are:

- ${}_{()}X_{()}X_{()}$
- ${}_{(1)}X_{(1)}X_{(1)}$
- ${}_{(2)}X_{(2)}X_{(2)}$



Figure 10: Heegaard diagram for involution relation.

- ${}_{(12)}X_{(12)}X_{(12)}$
- ${}_{(1)}X_{(1)}Y_{(2)}$
- ${}_{(1)}Y_{(2)}X_{(2)}$

For generators with X at both positions, any combinations of occupying pairs are possible. For generators with X at first position and Y at second position, pair 1 and not pair 2 are occupied in the middle, so 1 can be replaced by 2 at the end. This implies pair 1 and not pair 2 are occupied at the beginning as well. The same reasoning can be used for type YX, and for showing that type YY is not possible.

Later, we may use $(*)$ to denote an arbitrary subset of the numbered pairs, that stays the same for a given generator. So for example, we may collect the first four types above into ${}_{(*)}X_{(*)}X_{(*)}$.

We now state several general facts about $\widehat{CFDA}(\tau)$ and tensor products of such bimodules. These can be proved using the corresponding facts about $\widehat{CFDD}(\tau)$ in section 2.5, and the definition of $\widehat{CFDA}(\tau)$ as the tensor product of $\widehat{CFDD}(\tau)$ with $\widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})$.

Remark:

1. Just as in the type DD case, each generator of $\widehat{CFDA}(\tau)$ corresponds to a tuple of points in the Heegaard diagram for the arcslide, with its left (type D) idempotent the set of unoccupied α-arcs on the left, and its right (type A) idempotent the set of occupied α-arcs on the right. When Heegaard diagrams of arcslides are glued side-by-side along their boundaries, the result is a larger Heegaard diagram that now contains α-circles. Note the boundaries that are glued along are removed from the resulting diagram. Each generator $\mathbf{x}_1 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mathbf{x}_n$ of the tensor product corresponds to a tuple of points, with each α and β circles containing exactly one point, and each α-arc containing at most one point.

As in the type DD case, each arrow in $\widehat{CFDA}(\tau)$ corresponds to a domain away from the basepoint in the Heegaard diagram of the arcslide. Likewise, each arrow in the tensor product $\widehat{CFDA}(\tau_1)\boxtimes\cdots\boxtimes\widehat{CFDA}(\tau_n)$ corresponds to a domain in the Heegaard diagram obtained by gluing the diagrams for $\tau_1,\dots,\tau_n$ in sequence. The multiplicity of the domain on the left (resp. right) boundary equals the total multiplicity of the algebra coefficients on the left (resp. right) of the arrow. The relation $\partial(\partial^\alpha B) = \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}$ when domain B represents an arrow from $\mathbf{x}$ to $\mathbf{y}$ still holds.

2. The remarks on the grading set of $\widehat{CFDD}(\tau)$ for an arcslide τ generalizes to the case of an arbitrary element ϕ of the strongly-based mapping class groupoid. Given $\phi : F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_1) \to F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_2)$, the bimodules $\widehat{CFDD}(\phi)$ and $\widehat{CFDA}(\phi)$ are both graded by a set S having free and transitive left-right actions by $G(\mathcal{Z}_1)$ and $G(\mathcal{Z}_2)$. The grading set induces an element of $\mathrm{Out}(G(\mathcal{Z}_1), G(\mathcal{Z}_2))$. If ϕ starts and ends at the same pointed matched circle $\mathcal{Z}$, then it induces an element of the outer automorphism group $\mathrm{Out}(G(\mathcal{Z}), G(\mathcal{Z}))$. That element can be found from the action of ϕ on the homology of the surface. In particular, the identity morphism on $F^\circ(\mathcal{Z})$ induces the identity outer isomorphism on $G(\mathcal{Z})$.

3. For any $\phi : F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_1) \to F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_2)$, let $\widehat{CFDD}(\phi)$ be given by equation (16). Let $\bar{\phi} : F^\circ(-\mathcal{Z}_1) \to F^\circ(-\mathcal{Z}_2)$ be the map with reversed orientation. Then there is a duality

$$ {}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_1),\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_2)}\overline{\widehat{CFDD}(\phi)} \simeq {}^{\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_1),\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_2)}\widehat{CFDD}(\bar{\phi}). $$

   We prove this next via an intermediate lemma.

Given a right type AA bimodule M over dg-algebras A and A' (with M finite-dimensional over $\mathbf{k}$), its dual $\overline{M}$ is a left type AA bimodule over A and A' with the same generators and all arrows reversed (this is analogous to [9, Definition 2.2.31, 2.2.53], called opposite structures there). With similar definitions of dual on other types of modules, taking dual commutes with box tensor product. That is,

$$ \overline{M_A \boxtimes {}^A N} = \overline{N}^A \boxtimes {}_A\overline{M}, $$

where M and N may have additional actions.

Lemma 15. *There is a self-duality in $\widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_\mathcal{Z})$:*

$$ \overline{\widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_\mathcal{Z})}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}),\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z})} \simeq \widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_{-\mathcal{Z}})_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}),\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z})} \tag{58} $$

Here, when taking the dual of $\widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_\mathcal{Z})_{\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}),\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})}$, we took the opposite of the algebras, so they still act from the right side.

Proof. Both sides of the equation, when tensored with $\widehat{CFDD}(\mathbb{I}_{-\mathcal{Z}})$, produces a bimodule that can be shown to be homotopy equivalent to ${}^{\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z})}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z})}$ using Lemma 12. Since $\widehat{CFDD}(\mathbb{I}_{-\mathcal{Z}})$ is quasi-invertible, this shows that the two sides of the equation are homotopy equivalent. □

Lemma 16. *Given a morphism $\phi : F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_1) \to F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_2)$, and $\bar{\phi} : F^\circ(-\mathcal{Z}_1) \to F^\circ(-\mathcal{Z}_2)$ be the morphism with reversed orientation. Then*

$$ {}^{\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_1),\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_2)}\overline{\widehat{CFDD}(\phi)} \simeq {}^{\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_1),\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_2)}\widehat{CFDD}(\bar{\phi}) \tag{59}$$

Again, in taking the dual of ${}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_1),\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_2)}\widehat{CFDD}(\phi)$, we took the opposite of algebras so they still act from the left side.

Proof. We show the case where ϕ can be factored into two arcslides. The general case is similar.

Let $\phi = \tau_2 \circ \tau_1$, where $\tau_1 : F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_1) \to F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_m)$ and $\tau_2 : F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_m) \to F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_2)$. Then $\bar{\phi} = \bar{\tau_2} \circ \bar{\tau_1}$. We have

$$ {}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_1),\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_2)}\widehat{CFDD}(\phi) = {}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_1),\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_m)}\widehat{CFDD}(\tau_1) \boxtimes \widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}_m})_{\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_m),\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_m)} \boxtimes {}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_m),\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_2)}\widehat{CFDD}(\tau_2) \tag{60}$$

Taking the dual of both sides, and using duality for $\widehat{CFDD}$ of arcslides and Lemma 15 to rewrite the right side, we get:

$$ {}^{\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_1),\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_2)}\overline{\widehat{CFDD}(\phi)} = {}^{\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_1),\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_m)}\widehat{CFDD}(\bar{\tau_1}) \boxtimes \widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_{-\mathcal{Z}_m})_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_m),\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_m)} \boxtimes {}^{\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_m),\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{Z}_2)}\widehat{CFDD}(\bar{\tau_2}), \tag{61}$$

which is the same as the expansion of ${}^{\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_1),\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_2)}\widehat{CFDD}(\bar{\phi})$. □

3.6 The involution relation

In this section we will verify the involution relation. Figure 10 shows one of the four possible cases. The computations for the other three cases are similar.

Recall that the tensor product is generated by three types of generators:

- ${}_{(*)}X_{(*)}X_{(*)}$
- ${}_{(1)}X_{(1)}Y_{(2)}$
- ${}_{(1)}Y_{(2)}X_{(2)}$

For each type XY generator, there is a corresponding type YX generator that occupies the same unnumbered pairs. The plan is to cancel out pairs of XY and YX generators using this correspondence, and show that the resulting bimodule satisfies the four conditions in Lemma 12.



Figure 11: Domains that contributes type DA operations of interest.



Figure 12: Domains that contributes type DA operations of interest.

There are five domains that contribute type DA arrows of interest. They are shown in Figures 11 and 12.

Domain C contributes an arrow with one idempotent coefficient from any XY generator to the corresponding YX generator. This allows us to cancel all XY and YX generators using the cancellation lemma. We now focus on the resulting bimodule, with the type XX generators.

This bimodule clearly satisfies (ID-1). Condition (ID-2) can be checked by explicit grading computations, which uses only the combinatorial features of the Heegaard diagram. In particular, the fact that the induced map $\phi \in \mathrm{Out}(G(\mathcal{Z}), G(\mathcal{Z}))$ is identity is equivalent to the fact that the action of this composition of arcslides on $H_1(F(\mathcal{Z}))$ is the identity. To verify the stability condition, (ID-4), adjoin a genus one pointed matched circle and Heegaard diagram for identity diffeomorphism to the bottom of the diagram. See Figure 13. Since the ordering $<_{\mathcal{Z}}$ among the original intervals is unchanged by the addition, the type DD and type DA arrows covering only the original part of the diagram are the same as before. So the stability condition is clear.

It remains to verify (ID-3). For this, we need to classify all arrows whose coefficients have length at most one on both boundaries. Such an arrow either exists before applying the cancellation lemma, or is produced via a zig-zag.



Figure 13: Adjoining a genus one PMC at the bottom.

In the first case, they correspond to one connected domain between type XX generators. They include trivial horizontal strips in regions away from the slide, domain D, and domain E. In the second case, the zig-zag must be of the form:



The coefficient c_1 must have length one on the left boundary and zero on the right, and c_2 must have length zero on the left and one on the right, or vice-versa. Looking at the Heegaard diagram, the only possibility is that c_1 is produced by domain A and c_2 by domain B.

In what follows, we show that for each of the domains A, B, D, E and starting and ending generators with the correct idempotents, there is exactly one arrow. These arrows, together with the ones coming from simple horizontal strips, cover each length-one interval exactly once, which verifies (ID-3).

Domain A and B are straightforward since they involve only length one coefficients. The next case is domain D. If the B pair is not occupied, the arrow is produced by:



If the B pair is occupied, then the type DA arrow on the left side depends on the ordering. However, in either case we get one arrow after tensoring:





Now for domain E: if the C pair is occupied, the arrow is produced by:



and otherwise:



This finishes the verification of the involution relation.

Corollary 17. *The type DA bimodule for an arcslide is quasi-invertible, and the same is true for any tensor product of such bimodules.*

Proof. For a single arcslide, the quasi-inverse is given by $\widehat{CFDA}$ of the inverse arcslide. It is clear that tensor products of quasi-invertible bimodules are quasi-invertible. □

The computations here allow us to prove an uniqueness statement on $\widehat{CFDD}(\tau)$. A similar statement is proved in [10].

Corollary 18. *Let $\tau : F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_1) \to F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_2)$ be an arcslide. If a bimodule ${}^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_1),\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{Z}_2)}M$ is stable, has the same generators and gradings as $\widehat{CFDD}(\tau)$, and its type DD action matches that of $\widehat{CFDD}(\tau)$ on all arrows with sum of lengths of algebra coefficients at most 3, then M is homotopy equivalent to $\widehat{CFDD}(\tau)$.*

Proof. Let $M_{DA} = M \boxtimes \widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I})$. Since we only used type DD arrows whose algebra coefficients have sum of lengths at most 3 in this section, we can perform the same computations on M_{DA} as on $\widehat{CFDA}(\tau)$, showing that:

$$M_{DA} \boxtimes \widehat{CFDA}(\tau^{-1}) = \mathbb{I} = \widehat{CFDA}(\tau) \boxtimes \widehat{CFDA}(\tau^{-1}).$$

Since $\widehat{CFDA}(\tau^{-1})$ is quasi-invertible, we see M_{DA} is homotopy equivalent to $\widehat{CFDA}(\tau)$. Since $\widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I})$ is also quasi-invertible, we see M is homotopy equivalent to $\widehat{CFDD}(\tau)$. □

3.7 Other relations

For each of the other relations, we check the conditions in Lemma 13. (ID-2) is checked by explicit grading computations as before. (ID-3) follows from Corollary 17, with the inverse M' being the tensor product of inverse arcslides (in the opposite order). (ID-4) is shown by adjoining a genus one pointed matched circle as before. So it remains to show (ID-1) (with the same technique as given here we can show (ID-1) for the inverse M').

We now go through the cases.

3.7.1 Triangle

For the triangle relation, the Heegaard diagram for one of the possible cases is shown in Figure 14. The other cases differ from this one only by switching the ordering of the points and underslides with overslides. The enumeration of generators, and which pairs of generators can be cancelled, remain the same.

The roles of the numbered pairs are as follows:

- Arcslide 1: $C = 2, B = 1$.
- Arcslide 2: $C = 1, B = 2$.
- Arcslide 3: $C = 2, B = 1$.

Only the sequence YXY is forbidden. For that sequence, pair 1 must be occupied after the first arcslide, and therefore after the second arcslide, so type Y is not possible at the third arcslide. The possible types are:



Figure 14: Heegaard diagram for triangle relation.

- ${}_{(*)}X_{(*)}X_{(*)}X_{(*)}$
- ${}_{(2)}Y_{(1)}X_{(1)}X_{(1)}$
- ${}_{(1)}X_{(1)}Y_{(2)}X_{(2)}$
- ${}_{(2)}X_{(2)}X_{(2)}Y_{(1)}$
- ${}_{(2)}Y_{(1)}Y_{(2)}X_{(2)}$
- ${}_{(1)}X_{(1)}Y_{(2)}Y_{(1)}$
- ${}_{(2)}Y_{(1)}Y_{(2)}Y_{(1)}$
- ${}_{(1)}X_{(1)}Y_{(2)}X_{(2)}$

There are two domains that give rise to cancellable arrows: domain A and B as shown in the figure.

Domain A gives rise to arrows from $YY*$ to $XX*$, and domain B gives rise to arrows from $*YY$ to $*XX$. So the cancellable arrows are:

- $YYY \to XXY$
- $YYY \to YXX$
- ${}_{(1)}XYY_{(1)} \to {}_{(1)}XXX_{(1)}$
- ${}_{(2)}YYX_{(2)} \to {}_{(2)}XXX_{(2)}$

We choose to cancel everything except the second set $YYY \to YXX$ (cancelling the first set of arrows eliminates the option of cancelling the second). The remaining generators are:

$$_{(2)}YXX_{(1)}, \quad _{(1)}XYX_{(2)}, \quad _{()}XXX_{()} \text{ and } _{(12)}XXX_{(12)}.$$

Since each type of idempotents at the two ends occurs exactly once, we have checked (ID-1). Note the 1-pair at the left corresponds to the 2-pair at the right, and vice versa, under the bijection of pairs coming from the equality of pointed matched circles at the two ends.

3.7.2 Commutativity

The Heegaard diagram for one of the cases is shown in Figure 15 (as in the triangle case, the other possibilities are similar).



Figure 15: Heegaard diagram for commutativity relation.

The role of the numbered pairs are as follows:

- Arcslide 1: $C = 1, B = 2$.
- Arcslide 2: $C = 3, B = 4$.
- Arcslide 3: $C = 1, B = 2$.
- Arcslide 4: $C = 3, B = 4$.

The restriction on the types is that at most one of the types at arcslides 1 and 3 can be Y, and at most one at arcslides 2 and 4 can be Y. The possibilities are:

- $_{(*)}X_{(*)}X_{(*)}X_{(*)}X_{(*)}$

- ${}_{(1)}Y_{(2)}X_{(2)}X_{(2)}X_{(2)}$ with pairs 3 and/or 4 possibly added to each idempotent (similarly in the next three types).
- ${}_{(1)}X_{(1)}X_{(1)}Y_{(2)}X_{(2)}$ with 3 and/or 4 possibly added.
- ${}_{(3)}X_{(3)}Y_{(4)}X_{(4)}X_{(4)}$ with 1 and/or 2 possibly added.
- ${}_{(3)}X_{(3)}X_{(3)}X_{(3)}Y_{(4)}$ with 1 and/or 2 possibly added.
- ${}_{(13)}Y_{(23)}Y_{(24)}X_{(24)}X_{(24)}$
- ${}_{(13)}Y_{(23)}X_{(23)}X_{(23)}Y_{(24)}$
- ${}_{(13)}X_{(13)}Y_{(14)}Y_{(24)}X_{(24)}$
- ${}_{(13)}X_{(13)}X_{(13)}Y_{(23)}Y_{(24)}$

The two domains giving rise to cancellable arrows are labelled A and B in the figure.

Domain A gives rise to arrows from $YaXb$ to $XaYb$, for any valid choice of $a, b \in \{X, Y\}$. Likewise, domain B gives rise to arrows from $aYbX$ to $aXbY$. So the cancellable arrows are:

- ${}_{(1)}YXXX_{(2)} \to {}_{(1)}XXYX_{(2)}$ with 3 and 4 arbitrary
- ${}_{(3)}XYXX_{(4)} \to {}_{(3)}XXXY_{(4)}$ with 1 and 2 arbitrary

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}_{(13)}YYXX_{(24)} & \longrightarrow & {}_{(13)}YXXY_{(24)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ {}_{(13)}XYYX_{(24)} & \longrightarrow & {}_{(13)}XXYY_{(24)} \end{array}$$

The first two arrows cancel all generators with one Y. For generators with two Y's, we can either cancel both horizontal arrows or both vertical arrows in the square above. In the end, only generators of type ${}_{(*)}XXXX_{(*)}$ remain, which checks (ID-1).

3.7.3 Left and Right Pentagon

The Heegaard diagram for one of the cases of the left pentagon relation is shown in Figure 16. Other cases of the left and right pentagon relation are similar.

The role of the numbered pairs are as follows:

- Arcslide 1: $C = 2, B = 3$.
- Arcslide 2: $C = 1, B = 2$.
- Arcslide 3: $C = 2, B = 3$.
- Arcslide 4: $C = 1, B = 3$.



Figure 16: Heegaard diagram for pentagon relation.

- Arcslide 5: $C = 1, B = 2$.

The possible types are:

- ${}_{(*)}X_{(*)}X_{(*)}X_{(*)}X_{(*)}X_{(*)}$
- ${}_{(12)}Y_{(13)}Y_{(23)}X_{(23)}X_{(23)}X_{(23)}$
- ${}_{(12)}X_{(12)}X_{(12)}Y_{(13)}X_{(13)}Y_{(23)}$
- ${}_{(12)}Y_{(13)}X_{(13)}X_{(13)}X_{(13)}Y_{(23)}$
- ${}_{(1)}X_{(1)}Y_{(2)}Y_{(3)}X_{(3)}X_{(3)}$
- ${}_{(2)}Y_{(3)}X_{(3)}X_{(3)}X_{(3)}X_{(3)}$, ${}_{(12)}Y_{(13)}X_{(13)}X_{(13)}X_{(13)}X_{(13)}$
- ${}_{(1)}X_{(1)}Y_{(2)}X_{(2)}X_{(2)}X_{(2)}$, ${}_{(13)}X_{(13)}Y_{(23)}X_{(23)}X_{(23)}X_{(23)}$
- ${}_{(2)}X_{(2)}X_{(2)}Y_{(3)}X_{(3)}X_{(3)}$, ${}_{(12)}X_{(12)}X_{(12)}Y_{(13)}X_{(13)}X_{(13)}$
- ${}_{(1)}X_{(1)}X_{(1)}X_{(1)}Y_{(3)}X_{(3)}$, ${}_{(12)}X_{(12)}X_{(12)}X_{(12)}Y_{(23)}X_{(23)}$
- ${}_{(1)}X_{(1)}X_{(1)}X_{(1)}X_{(1)}Y_{(2)}$, ${}_{(13)}X_{(13)}X_{(13)}X_{(13)}X_{(13)}Y_{(23)}$

The main difficulty here is to analyze the domain $B + C$ and see when it contributes a cancellable arrow. This involves tensoring together four type DA bimodules as shown in Figure 17.

The arrow needed in the fourth piece is simple. For the third piece, there are several ways to cover the domain. First, if pair 3 is unoccupied in the middle pieces:



Figure 17: Domain $B + C$.



If pair 3 is occupied, there are two different ways, one of which involves two steps:



and



Now looking at the possible arrows in the second piece, we see that whether or not pair 3 is occupied, there is always exactly one way to continue forming the arrow in the tensor product to the second piece (and then trivially to the first piece). If pair 3 is occupied, which of the two ways shown above is used depends on the ordering $<_{\mathcal{Z}}$. This shows that the domain $B + C$ gives rise to arrows:

- $*_{(1)}X_{(1)}X_{(1)}X_{(1)}Y_{(2)} \to *_{(1)}Y_{(2)}X_{(2)}X_{(2)}X_{(2)}$
- $*_{(13)}X_{(13)}X_{(13)}X_{(13)}Y_{(23)} \to *_{(13)}Y_{(23)}X_{(23)}X_{(23)}X_{(23)}$

The other domains are:

- Domain A: $XXY** \to YXX**$
- Domain B: $*XXY* \to *YYX*$
- Domain C: $**YXY \to **XYX$

So the cancellable arrows are:

- ${}_{(12)}YXXXY_{(23)} \to {}_{(12)}YYXXX_{(23)}$
- ${}_{(1)}XXXXY_{(2)} \to {}_{(1)}XYXXX_{(2)}$
- ${}_{(13)}XXXXY_{(23)} \to {}_{(13)}XYXXX_{(23)}$
- ${}_{(12)}XXYXY_{(23)} \to {}_{(12)}YXXXY_{(23)}$
- ${}_{(2)}XXYXX_{(3)} \to {}_{(2)}YXXXX_{(3)}$
- ${}_{(12)}XXYXX_{(13)} \to {}_{(12)}YXXXX_{(13)}$
- ${}_{(1)}XXXYX_{(3)} \to {}_{(1)}XYYXX_{(3)}$
- ${}_{(12)}XXYXY_{(23)} \to {}_{(12)}XXXYX_{(23)}$

If all arrows, except for the fourth, are cancelled, only generators of type ${}_{(*)}XXXXX_{(*)}$ remain, which verifies (ID-1).

4 The 3-manifold invariant

In this section, we prove Theorem 4, showing that the homotopy type of the chain complex $\widehat{HF}$ given in Construction 3 does not depend on the choices made. There are two main components of the proof, given by the two lemmas below. We let $\mathrm{MCG}_0(\mathcal{Z}^g)$ denote the strongly-based mapping class group on $F_{g,1}$, parametrized by the genus g split pointed matched circle $\mathcal{Z}^g$.

Lemma 19 (Stabilization)**.** *Let ψ be an element of $\mathrm{MCG}_0(\mathcal{Z}^g)$. Consider $F_{g+1,1}$, parametrized by $\mathcal{Z}^{g+1}$, as the surface obtained from $F_{g,1}$ by adding a handle in a neighborhood of the basepoint. Let $\mathring{\psi}$ be the element of $\mathrm{MCG}_0(\mathcal{Z}^{g+1})$ that fixes the new handle and acts as ψ elsewhere. Then*

$$\widehat{CFD}(\mathbf{H}^g)\boxtimes\widehat{CFAA}(\psi)\boxtimes\overline{\widehat{CFD}(\mathbf{H}^g)} \simeq \widehat{CFD}(\mathbf{H}^{g+1})\boxtimes\widehat{CFAA}(\mathring{\psi})\boxtimes\overline{\widehat{CFD}(\mathbf{H}^{g+1})}. \tag{62}$$

Definition 20. *Define the group $\mathrm{MCG}_0^\beta(\mathcal{Z}^g)$ to be the subgroup of $\mathrm{MCG}_0(\mathcal{Z}^g)$ that extends to an automorphism of the 0-framed handlebody $\mathbf{H}^g$. Likewise, define $\mathrm{MCG}_0^\alpha(\mathcal{Z}^g)$ to be the corresponding subgroup for the ∞-framed handlebody $-\mathbf{H}^g$.*

Equivalently, $\mathrm{MCG}_0^\beta(\mathcal{Z}^g)$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{MCG}_0(\mathcal{Z}^g)$ that are restrictions of automorphisms of $\mathbf{H}^g$ to its boundary, and similarly for $\mathrm{MCG}_0^\alpha(\mathcal{Z}^g)$.

Lemma 21 (Reparametrization of the 0-framed handlebody)**.** *For each element $\phi \in \mathrm{MCG}_0^\beta(\mathcal{Z}^g)$, we have*

$$\widehat{CFD}(\mathbf{H}^g) \boxtimes \widehat{CFAD}(\phi) \simeq \widehat{CFD}(\mathbf{H}^g). \tag{63}$$

We first show that these two lemmas imply Theorem 4.

Proof of Theorem 4. There are two choices made in Construction 3: the choice of Heegaard splitting $Y = Y_1 \cup Y_2$, and choice of parametrization of Y_1 and Y_2 by standard handlebodies. It is well-known that any two Heegaard splittings become isotopic after a finite number of stabilizations. Also, any stabilization can be isotopied to the standard one, adding a handle in a neighborhood of the basepoint. If ψ is a valid choice of element in $\mathrm{MCG}_0(\mathcal{Z}^g)$ in the second stage of the construction, then $\mathring{\psi}$ is a valid choice of element in $\mathrm{MCG}_0(\mathcal{Z}^{g+1})$ after a standard stabilization. So Lemma 19 implies that Construction 3 is invariant under stabilizations.

Now we consider choice of parametrization of Y_1 and Y_2. Any two choices of parametrization of Y_1 differs by an element $\phi_1 \in \mathrm{MCG}_0^\beta(\mathcal{Z}^g)$. Hence, changing the parametrization of Y_1 changes ψ to $\psi' = \psi \circ \phi_1$ for some $\phi_1 \in \mathrm{MCG}_0^\beta(\mathcal{Z}^g)$. Likewise, changing the parametrization of Y_2 changes ψ to $\psi' = \phi_2 \circ \psi$ for some $\phi_2 \in \mathrm{MCG}_0^\alpha(\mathcal{Z}^g)$.

It remains to show the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{CFD}(\mathbf{H}^g) \boxtimes \widehat{CFAA}(\psi \circ \phi_1) &\simeq \widehat{CFD}(\mathbf{H}^g) \boxtimes \widehat{CFAA}(\psi), \\
\widehat{CFAA}(\phi_2 \circ \psi) \boxtimes \overline{\widehat{CFD}(\mathbf{H}^g)} &\simeq \widehat{CFAA}(\psi) \boxtimes \overline{\widehat{CFD}(\mathbf{H}^g)}
\end{aligned}$$

for $\phi_1 \in \mathrm{MCG}_0^\beta(\mathcal{Z}^g)$ and $\phi_2 \in \mathrm{MCG}_0^\alpha(\mathcal{Z}^g)$.

The first equation follows directly from Lemma 21:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{CFD}(\mathbf{H}^g) \boxtimes \widehat{CFAA}(\psi \circ \phi_1) \\
\simeq\ & \widehat{CFD}(\mathbf{H}^g) \boxtimes \widehat{CFAD}(\phi_1) \boxtimes \widehat{CFAA}(\psi) \\
\simeq\ & \widehat{CFD}(\mathbf{H}^g) \boxtimes \widehat{CFAA}(\psi).
\end{aligned}$$

For the second equation, we use the fact that reversing orientation in $\mathcal{Z}^g$ switches the standard positions of α and β circles. This means $\phi_2 \in \mathrm{MCG}_0^{\alpha}(\mathcal{Z}^g)$ if and only if $\overline{\phi_2} \in \mathrm{MCG}_0^{\beta}(\mathcal{Z}^g)$. We will also use the formula $\overline{\widehat{CFDD}(\psi)} = \widehat{CFDD}(\overline{\psi})$ stated earlier, and the fact that $\widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ is dual to $\widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_{-\mathcal{Z}})$. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{CFAA}(\phi_2 \circ \psi) \boxtimes \overline{\widehat{CFD}(\mathbf{H}^g)} \\
\simeq\ & \widehat{CFAA}(\psi) \boxtimes \widehat{CFDA}(\phi_2) \boxtimes \overline{\widehat{CFD}(\mathbf{H}^g)} \\
\simeq\ & \widehat{CFAA}(\psi) \boxtimes \widehat{CFDD}(\phi_2) \boxtimes \widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}_g}) \boxtimes \overline{\widehat{CFD}(\mathbf{H}^g)} \\
\simeq\ & \widehat{CFAA}(\psi) \boxtimes \overline{\widehat{CFDD}(\bar{\phi}_2)} \boxtimes \overline{\widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_{-\mathcal{Z}_g})} \boxtimes \overline{\widehat{CFD}(\mathbf{H}^g)} \\
\simeq\ & \widehat{CFAA}(\psi) \boxtimes \overline{\widehat{CFD}(\mathbf{H}^g) \boxtimes \widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_{-\mathcal{Z}_g}) \boxtimes \widehat{CFDD}(\bar{\phi}_2)} \\
\simeq\ & \widehat{CFAA}(\psi) \boxtimes \overline{\widehat{CFD}(\mathbf{H}^g) \boxtimes \widehat{CFAD}(\bar{\phi}_2)} \\
\simeq\ & \widehat{CFAA}(\psi) \boxtimes \overline{\widehat{CFD}(\mathbf{H}^g)}
\end{aligned}$$

□

We now conclude by proving the two lemmas, starting with stabilization invariance.

Proof of Lemma 19. Let $\tau : F(\mathcal{Z}_1) \to F(\mathcal{Z}_2)$ be an arcslide between parametrizations of $F_{g,1}$. We can extend the definition of stabilization to τ as follows. Let $\mathring{\mathcal{Z}}_1 = \mathcal{Z}_1 \# \mathcal{Z}^1$ and $\mathring{\mathcal{Z}}_2 = \mathcal{Z}_2 \# \mathcal{Z}^1$ (here $\mathcal{Z}^1$ is the genus 1 pointed matched circle, and $\cdot \# \cdot$ denotes the connect sum of pointed matched circles). From the parametrizations of $F_{g,1}$ by $\mathcal{Z}_1$ and $\mathcal{Z}_2$, we obtain standard parametrizations of $F_{g+1,1}$ by $\mathring{\mathcal{Z}}_1$ and $\mathring{\mathcal{Z}}_2$, where $F_{g+1,1}$ is considered as the result of adding a handle to $F_{g,1}$ near the basepoint. Then define $\mathring{\tau} : F(\mathring{\mathcal{Z}}_1) \to F(\mathring{\mathcal{Z}}_2)$ as the arcslide acting as the identity on the new handle, and as τ elsewhere.

We will use Heegaard diagrams for the standard handlebody and arcslides to understand the invariants in our construction, noting that generators and arrows in the invariants correspond respectively to tuples of intersection points and domains in the diagram away from the basepoint (see the first Remark in section 3.5). The standard Heegaard diagram for $\mathring{\tau}$ is obtained from that for τ by adjoining the diagram for the identity diffeomorphism of the genus 1 surface to the top. For an arbitrary element ψ of the strongly-based mapping class groupoid, and a factorization $\psi = \tau_n \circ \cdots \circ \tau_1$, we obtain a Heegaard diagram for ψ as the result of gluing the standard diagrams of $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n$ in sequence. Then $\mathring{\psi}$ has factorization $\mathring{\tau}_n \circ \cdots \circ \mathring{\tau}_1$, and its Heegaard diagram can be obtained from that for ψ by adding to the top a "strip" of diagrams for the identity diffeomorphism of the genus 1 surface.

For the handlebodies $\mathbf{H}^g$ and $-\mathbf{H}^g$, we see that the diagrams of $\mathbf{H}^{g+1}$ and $-\mathbf{H}^{g+1}$ are obtained from that of $\mathbf{H}^g$ and $-\mathbf{H}^g$ by adjoining diagrams of $\mathbf{H}^1$ and $-\mathbf{H}^1$ to the top. These constructions are illustrated in Figure 18.



Figure 18: Proof of invariance under stabilization.

Given a genus g Heegaard diagram and a choice $\psi \in \mathrm{MCG}_0(\mathcal{Z}^g)$ for that diagram, the chain complex

$$\widehat{CFD}(-\mathbf{H}^g) \boxtimes \widehat{CFAA}(\psi) \boxtimes \widehat{CFD}(\mathbf{H}^g) \tag{64}$$

can be seen to come from the Heegaard diagram in Figure 18 below the dotted line, in the sense that generators of the chain complex correspond to tuples of intersection points in the diagram, and arrows in the differential correspond to certain domains. Likewise, the chain complex

$$\widehat{CFD}(-\mathbf{H}^{g+1}) \boxtimes \widehat{CFAA}(\mathring{\psi}) \boxtimes \widehat{CFD}(\mathbf{H}^{g+1}) \tag{65}$$

comes from the entire Heegaard diagram in Figure 18.

The choice of intersection points in the adjoined portion of the diagram is forced (as marked in the figure), which means it is the same for all generators. So there is a one-to-one correspondence between generators of the old and new complexes. Moreover, since all areas above the dotted line are in the same region as the basepoint, no domain giving raise to an arrow in the differential can contain parts above the line. This shows the chain complexes in (64) and (65) are exactly the same, proving Lemma 19 (note we used the equivalence between $\overline{\widehat{CFD}(\mathbf{H}^g)}$ and $\widehat{CFD}(-\mathbf{H}^g)$). □

For Lemma 21, we need to show

$$\widehat{CFDA}(\phi) \boxtimes \widehat{CFD}(\mathbf{H}^g) \simeq \widehat{CFD}(\mathbf{H}^g),$$

for any $\phi \in \mathrm{MCG}_0^\beta(\mathcal{Z}_g)$. It suffices to verify the equation for a set of generators of $\mathrm{MCG}_0^\beta(\mathcal{Z}_g)$.

We find generators for strongly-based mapping class groups by appealing to results on the usual mapping class group. Let F_g be the genus g surface with a basepoint. Let $\mathrm{MCG}(F_g)$ be the group of isotopy classes of diffeomorphisms on F_g that fixes the basepoint, with isotopies also required to fix the basepoint. It is related to $\mathrm{MCG}_0(\mathcal{Z}_g)$ by a short exact sequence (see [3, Section 4.2.5]):

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\tau_\partial} \mathrm{MCG}_0(\mathcal{Z}_g) \longrightarrow \mathrm{MCG}(F_g) \longrightarrow 0$$

Here τ_∂ maps the generator of $\mathbb{Z}$ to the *boundary Dehn twist* in $\mathrm{MCG}_0(\mathcal{Z}_g)$. This is the element that performs a Dehn twist along a loop parallel to the boundary of $F_{g,1}$.

There is likewise a short exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\tau_\partial} \mathrm{MCG}_0^\beta(\mathcal{Z}_g) \longrightarrow \mathrm{MCG}^\beta(F_g) \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\mathrm{MCG}^\beta(F_g)$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{MCG}(F_g)$ that are restrictions of automorphisms of the 0-framed handlebody $\mathbf{H}^g$. This exact sequence shows that a generating set of $\mathrm{MCG}_0^\beta(\mathcal{Z}_g)$ can be obtained by adding the boundary Dehn twist to the lifting of a generating set of $\mathrm{MCG}^\beta(F_g)$.

A generating set of $\mathrm{MCG}^\beta(F_g)$ is given in [21] (the corresponding notation in that paper is $\mathrm{MCG}^*(F_g)$). We reproduce the list of generators, together with the action of each generator on $\pi_1(F_g)$ here. For an element $\psi \in \mathrm{MCG}^\beta(F_g)$, let $\psi_\sharp : \pi_1(F_g) \to \pi_1(F_g)$ be its action on $\pi_1(F_g)$. We let $a_1, b_1, \ldots, a_g, b_g$ be a set of standard generators of $\pi_1(F_g)$, with each b_i contractible in the handlebody, and each a_i intersecting b_i once. Let $s_i = a_i^{-1} b_i^{-1} a_i b_i$, so that $s_n \ldots s_2 s_1 = 1$ is a relation in $\pi_1(F_g)$. In [21], a genus g surface is considered as a sphere with g handles attached. Each handle, together with its immediate base, is called a *knob*. We refer to that paper for diagrams and geometric description of these generators.

Theorem 22 ([21])**.** *The group* $\mathrm{MCG}^\beta(F_g)$ *is generated by* $\rho, \omega_1, \tau_1, \rho_{12}, \theta_{12}$ *and* ξ_{12}*, whose actions on* $\pi_1(F_g)$ *are the following:*

- *Cyclic translation of handles:* $\rho_\sharp : a_i \to a_{i+1},\ b_i \to b_{i+1}$*, where indices are taken modulo* g*.*
- *Twisting a knob:* $\omega_{1\sharp} : a_1 \to a_1^{-1} s_1^{-1},\ b_1 \to a_1^{-1} b_1^{-1} a_1,\ a_j \to a_j,\ b_j \to b_j$ *for* $2 \le j \le n$*.*
- *Twisting a handle, or Dehn twist:* $\tau_{1\sharp} : a_1 \to a_1 b_1^{-1},\ b_1 \to b_1,\ a_j \to a_j,\ b_j \to b_j$ *for* $2 \le j \le n$*.*
- *Interchanging two knobs:* $\rho_{12\sharp} : a_1 \to s_1^{-1} a_2 s_1,\ a_2 \to a_1,\ b_1 \to s_1^{-1} b_2 s_1,\ b_2 \to b_1,\ a_j \to a_j,\ b_j \to b_j$ *for* $3 \le j \le n$*.*

- *Sliding along a_2:* $\theta_{12\sharp} : a_1 \to a_1(b_2^{-1}a_2^{-1}b_2),\ a_j \to a_j$ *for* $j \neq 1,\ b_2 \to a_2b_2(a_1^{-1}b_1a_1)(b_2^{-1}a_2^{-1}b_2),\ b_j \to b_j$ *for* $j \neq 2$.
- *Sliding along b_2:* $\xi_{12\sharp} : a_1 \to b_1a_1b_2^{-1}s_2(a_1^{-1}b_1^{-1}a_1),\ a_2 \to a_2b_2(a_1^{-1}b_1^{-1}a_1)b_2^{-1}$, $a_j \to a_j$ *for* $j \neq 1, 2,\ b_i \to b_i$ *for* $1 \leq i \leq g$.

Of these, only ρ is non-local in the sense that it is not restricted to a part of the surface with fixed genus. All other generators are restricted to a genus 1 or 2 part of the surface. We can remove ρ in favor of other local generators, by writing:

$$\rho^{-1} = \rho_{12} \circ \rho_{23} \circ \cdots \circ \rho_{g-1,g} \circ (\omega_{g\sharp})^{-2},$$

where $\omega_{g\sharp}$ is similar to $\omega_{1\sharp}$, except acting on the gth handle, and $\rho_{i,i+1}$ interchanges the ith and $(i+1)$th knobs. The equation can be verified by comparing the actions of two sides on $\pi_1(F_g)$: the initial $(\omega_{g\sharp})^{-2}$ has the effect of conjugating a_g and b_g by s_g^{-1}. After interchanging the knobs in succession, the action of the right side on $\pi_1(F_g)$ is $a_1 \to s_1^{-1}s_2^{-1}\cdots s_g^{-1}a_gs_g\cdots s_2s_1$, $a_2 \to a_1$, $a_3 \to a_2$, and so on, and similarly for the b_i's. We then apply the relation $s_g \cdots s_2s_1 = 1$.

Since the boundary Dehn twist equals ρ^g, the gth power of the cyclic translation of handles, the same generators also generate the group $\mathrm{MCG}_0^\beta(\mathcal{Z}_g)$.

So we have proved the following:

Corollary 23. *The group* $\mathrm{MCG}_0^\beta(F_g)$ *is generated by* $\omega_1, \omega_g, \tau_1, \theta_{12}, \xi_{12}$ *and* $\rho_{i,i+1}$ *for* $1 \leq i \leq g-1$.

Each of the generators in Corollary 23 is confined to one or two knobs on the surface. Our strategy will be to check Equation 63 on a surface of the corresponding genus (1 or 2), then extend the result to the general case. First, we compute a decomposition of these generators into arcslides. An arcslide with B pair (b_1, b_2) and C pair (c_1, c_2), with b_1 sliding over c_1, is denoted $b_1 \to c_1$. The points are always labeled 0 to $4g-1$ from left to right. The results are:

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{12}: &\quad 3\to4\ \ 6\to7\ \ 5\to6\ \ 4\to5\ \ 2\to3\ \ 5\to6\ \ 4\to5\ \ 3\to4\\
&\quad 1\to2\ \ 4\to5\ \ 3\to4\ \ 2\to3\ \ 0\to1\ \ 3\to4\ \ 2\to3\ \ 1\to2\\
\theta_{12}: &\quad 4\to3\ \ 1\to0\ \ 1\to2\ \ 5\to4\ \ 6\to5\\
\xi_{12}: &\quad 0\to1\ \ 3\to4\ \ 6\to7\ \ 6\to5\ \ 2\to3\ \ 1\to2\ \ 3\to2\\
\omega_1: &\quad 2\to3\ \ 1\to2\ \ 2\to3\ \ 1\to2\ \ 2\to3\ \ 1\to2\\
\tau_1: &\quad 2\to3
\end{aligned}$$

To verify these decompositions, we compute their actions on $\pi_1(F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}^g))$. For any pointed matched circle $\mathcal{Z}$, recall that the surface with circle boundary $F^\circ(\mathcal{Z})$ is formed by attaching 1-handles to Z along the matched pairs of points in $\mathbf{a} \subset Z$, then gluing in a solid disk on the other side. Choosing $z \in Z$ as the basepoint, the fundamental group of $F^\circ(\mathcal{Z})$ is generated freely by paths through the 1-handles. We choose the following orientation for the generators



Figure 19: Actions of arcslides on the fundamental group (underslide).

of the fundamental group. For the genus 1 cases:



and for all genus 2 cases:



An arcslide $\tau : \mathcal{Z}_1 \to \mathcal{Z}_2$ induces an action

$$\tau_* : \pi_1(F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_1)) \to \tau_* : \pi_1(F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_2))$$

on the fundamental groups. We describe this action by expressing each generator of $\pi_1(F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_2))$ (corresponding to a pair of matched points in $\mathcal{Z}_2$) in terms of the images under τ_* of generators of $\pi_1(F^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_1))$. This can be computed from the definition of arcslide (for example, see Figure 3 in [10]). The results are shown in Figures 19 and 20. For example, the first diagram means that if the two displayed handles in the starting pointed matched circle correspond to generators a_1 and b_1, then the two displayed handles in the ending pointed matched circle correspond to $\tau_*(a_1b_1)$ and $\tau_*(b_1)$ (the relation for handles unaffected by the arcslide is clear).

As an example, we verify the decomposition of θ_{12} into arcslides. Only the two middle pairs, corresponding to generators b_2 and a_1^{-1}, are moved during this sequence of arcslides. We follow what happened to these two pairs in the following table. We identify pairs of points in a sequence of arcslides as before. Each line in the table writes the generator corresponding to pairs identified with the initial b_2 and a_1^{-1} pairs in terms of $\tau_*(\cdot)$ of the initial generators.



Figure 20: Actions of arcslides on the fundamental group (overslide).

Arcslide	b_2	a_1^{-1}
$4 \to 3$	b_2	$b_2a_1^{-1}$
$1 \to 0$	b_2	$a_2b_2a_1^{-1}$
$1 \to 2$	$b_2^{-1}a_2b_2a_1^{-1}$	$a_2b_2a_1^{-1}$
$5 \to 4$	$b_2^{-1}a_2b_2a_1^{-1}$	$a_2b_2a_1^{-1}b_1$
$6 \to 5$	$b_2^{-1}a_2b_2a_1^{-1}$	$a_2b_2a_1^{-1}b_1a_1b_2^{-1}a_2^{-1}b_2$

After this sequence of arcslides, the two middle pairs have switched positions. So the action is $a_1^{-1} \to b_2^{-1}a_2b_2a_1^{-1}$ and $b_2 \to a_2b_2a_1^{-1}b_1a_1b_2^{-1}a_2^{-1}b_2$. The first equation can be rewritten as $a_1 \to a_1b_2^{-1}a_2^{-1}b_2$. This agrees with the fundamental group action given in Theorem 22.

Proof of Lemma 21. By the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 19, we have

$$\widehat{CFD}(\mathbf{H}^g) \boxtimes \widehat{CFAD}(\phi) \simeq \widehat{CFD}(\mathbf{H}^{g+1}) \boxtimes \widehat{CFAD}(\mathring{\phi}),$$

where $\mathring{\phi}$ is the element of $\mathrm{MCG}_0(\mathcal{Z}^{g+1})$ that fixes the new handle and acts as ϕ elsewhere. The evaluation in the adjoined portion is equivalent to the evaluation of $\widehat{CFD}(\mathbf{H}^1) \boxtimes \widehat{CFAD}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}}) \simeq \widehat{CFD}(\mathbf{H}^1)$ on the genus 1 pointed matched circle. This works whether $\mathcal{Z}^{g+1}$ is formed as $\mathcal{Z}^1 \# \mathcal{Z}^g$ or as $\mathcal{Z}^g \# \mathcal{Z}^1$. From this, we see that it is sufficient to verify Equation 63 for each of the generators of $\mathrm{MCG}_0^\beta(F_g)$ in its respective minimum genus (1 or 2) case.

To do so, we decompose each generator ϕ of $\mathrm{MCG}_0^\beta(F_g)$ (for $g = 1$ or 2 depending on ϕ) into arcslides $\tau_n \circ \cdots \circ \tau_1$, as given above. Then we compute:

$$\widehat{CFD}(\mathbf{H}^g) \boxtimes \widehat{CFAD}(\phi) \simeq \widehat{CFD}(\mathbf{H}^g) \boxtimes \widehat{CFAD}(\tau_1) \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \widehat{CFAD}(\tau_n).$$

This reduces to a finite computation, which we performed on a computer using a Python program (which implements the description of $\widehat{CFAA}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ and the box tensor product). The entire computation took less than 20 seconds. □

References

[1] Alex James Bene. A chord diagrammatic presentation of the mapping class group of a once bordered surface. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 144(1):171–190, 2010. arXiv:0802.2747.

[2] Sabin Cautis and Joel Kamnitzer. Knot homology via derived categories of coherent sheaves, I: The sl(2)-case. *Duke Math. J.*, 142(3):511–588, 2008. arXiv:math/0701194.

[3] Benson Farb and Dan Margalit. A primer on mapping class groups. 2011.

[4] Mikhail Khovanov. A functor-valued invariant of tangles. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 2:665–741, 2002. arXiv:math/0103190.

[5] Mikhail Khovanov and Paul Seidel. Quivers, Floer cohomology, and braid group actions. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 15(1):203–271, 2002. arXiv:math/0006056.

[6] Mikhail Khovanov and Richard Thomas. Braid cobordisms, triangulated categories, and flag varieties. *Homology Homotopy Appl.*, 9(2):19–94, 2007. arXiv:math/0609335v2.

[7] Adam Simon Levine. Knot doubling operators and bordered Heegaard Floer homology. 2010. arXiv:1008.3349.

[8] Robert Lipshitz, Peter S. Ozsváth, and Dylan P. Thurston. Bordered Heegaard Floer homology: Invariance and pairing. 2008. arXiv:0810.0687.

[9] Robert Lipshitz, Peter S. Ozsváth, and Dylan P. Thurston. Bimodules in bordered Heegaard Floer homology. 2010. arXiv:1003.0598.

[10] Robert Lipshitz, Peter S. Ozsváth, and Dylan P. Thurston. Computing $\widehat{HF}$ by factoring mapping classes. 2010. arXiv:1010.2550.

[11] Robert Lipshitz, Peter S. Ozsváth, and Dylan P. Thurston. A faithful linear-categorical action of the mapping class group of a surface with boundary. 2010. arXiv:1012.1032.

[12] Robert Lipshitz, Peter S. Ozsváth, and Dylan P. Thurston. Heegaard Floer homology as morphism spaces. *Quantum Topology*, 2(4):381–449, 2011. arXiv:1005.1248.

[13] Peter S. Ozsváth, Andras I. Stipsicz, and Zoltán Szabó. Combinatorial Heegaard Floer homology and nice Heegaard diagrams. 2009. arXiv:0912.0830.

[14] Peter S. Ozsváth and Zoltán Szabó. Holomorphic disks and three-manifold invariants: properties and applications. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 159(3):1159–1245, 2004. arXiv:math.SG/0105202.

[15] Peter S. Ozsváth and Zoltán Szabó. Holomorphic disks and topological invariants for closed three-manifolds. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 159(3):1027–1158, 2004. arXiv:math.SG/0101206.

[16] Jørgen Ellegaard Andersen, Alex James Bene, and R. C. Penner. Groupoid extensions of mapping class representations for bordered surfaces. *Topology and its Applications*, 156(17):2713–2725, 2009. arXiv:0710.2651v2.

[17] Sucharit Sarkar and Jiajun Wang. An algorithm for computing some Heegaard Floer homologies. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 171(2):1213–1236, 2010. arXiv:math/0607777.

[18] Paul Seidel and Ivan Smith. A link invariant from the symplectic geometry of nilpotent slices. *Duke Math. J.*, 134(3):453–514, 2006. arXiv:math/0405089.

[19] Paul Seidel and Richard Thomas. Braid group actions on derived categories of coherent sheaves. *Duke Math. J.*, 108(1):37–108, 2001. arXiv:math/0001043.

[20] Kyler Siegel. A geometric proof of a faithful linear-categorical surface mapping class group action. 2011. arXiv:1108.3676.

[21] Shin'ichi Suzuki. On homeomorphisms of a 3-dimensional handlebody. *Canad. J. Math.*, 29(1):111–124, 1977.

[22] Bohua Zhan. Explicit Koszul-dualizing bimodules in bordered Heegaard Floer homology. 2014. arXiv:1403.6215.